Generation 1

 Steven Odis Westmoreland (son of Herbert Otis Westmoreland and Betty Katherine Covington) was born on 06 Jan 1962 in Durant, Oklahoma. He married Tiffany Lenn Sharpe (daughter of Dwight Albert Sharpe and Suzanne Margaret Boggess) on 21 Mar 1998 in University Park, Dallas County, Texas. She was born on 04 Aug 1966 in New Orleans, Orleans Parish, Louisiana. She was born on 04 Aug 1966 in New Orleans, Orleans Parish, Louisiana.

Notes for Steven Odis Westmoreland:

Steve was raised in Platte City, Missouri. He had an early engineering type interest and particularly was drawn to flight. He acquired a pilot's license as a teenager. He graduated from the University of Missouri with a degree in mechanical engineering and served as a jet fighter pilot for the Navy Air Force.

He shared a birthday with the long-tenured Speaker of the House of the United States Congress, Mr. Sam Rayburn, born in 1882. Sam, a revered leader in the Democratic Part of his day, died in November, 1963, the year after Steve was born. Actually, I was a student at Austin College in Sherman, Texas when the school dedicated its new Chapel in the fall of 1957, and Mr. Sam (as he was called) was the keynote speaker for the occasion. The school was located in his Congressional District.

Source: http://www.nytimes.com/learning/general/onthisday/20050106.html?th)

Steve's young life had his focus on wanting to fly early on. After graduating from the University of Missouri, he became a Navy Jet Fighter Pilot. Though he did not do it regularly, he did need to learn to land on an aircraft carrier, which he says is quite a challenge as a pilot! We came to realize that he was stationed in Meridian, Mississippi for a while when our family would visit relatives in Macon, Mississippi regularly, just some 60 miles away. Tiffany were so close, yet still so far apart just yet.

His post-military pilot experience began at American Airlines. There was a furlough time from there that he spent at Kitty Hawk Air Lines, a freight carrier based in North Carolina. Later he returned to American Airlines. He did a lot of domestic flights as well as some international flights. Later, he qualified for the large aircraft and did much more world scope travel.

I was so excited when Steve's Mother showed me that their genealogical line went through English King Edward I, who also is in my family line. The result is that Tiffany and Steve are a married couple who also are 34th cousins, four times removed! Steve turns out to be the 24th great grandson of King Edward I, whereas Tiffany is the King's 12th cousin, 24 times removed. So, we are pleased that Tiffany enhanced the reputation of our Sharpe family by marrying into a direct lineage royal family!

Actually, the ancestor in common to Steve and Tiffany is a Norwiegn Viking named Eystein Glumra Ivarsson, who was Earl or Jarl of the Uplands about the year 810 AD. Eystein is the ancestor the Abney's have in common with the line of William the Conqueror. Earl of Hendemarken; 'the Noisy,' aka Eyestein of ORKNEY. Eystein Glumra Ivarsson is the 25th great grandfather of the first President of the United States, General George Washington. Ivarsson is my 32nd great grandfather.

In addition, Steve is the 42nd great grandson of King Charlemagne the Great. The connection with English King Edward I makes him a 33rd cousin, five times removed in relation to me. He is the 30th great grandson to King William I, known better as William the Conqueror.

Steve and Tiffany joined the Church at the Cross, a Baptist Church in the Fort Worth suburb, Southlake, and became very active participants and leaders. Steve was on a team

of church members who went to the NewOrleans, Louisiana area to feed displaced people who were victims of Hurricane Katrina in September 2005.

Steve is very talented with his hands and is an excellent carpenter and handy man around the house. He has constructed several pieces of their furniture. On top of that, he has a great sense of what it is to be a husband and father. Sometimes I watch him with his children and think that he is a much better dad that I was. I am truly blessed to have him as a son-in-law.

Notes for Tiffany Lenn Sharpe:

My daughter, Tiffany Lenn Sharpe, born on a Thursday night, August 4,1966, was less than one year old when our family located in the crime-ridden inner city part of New Orleans, where, as her parents, we were involved in the starting of a ministry began by Canal Street Presbyterian Church where we were members.

It was May of 1967 that we moved, and that month was when the 100 millionth telephone was installed in the United States. It was when the Presbyterian Church in the U.S. (the Northern Presbyterian Church) adopted "The Confession of 1967," the first confessional document adopted by Presbyterians since the Westminster Confession of Faith was adopted in England in 1647. It also was the first major document in that denomination that signaled the trends toward theological liberalism that began to disrupt that church from the 1970's on into the 21st Century.

Tiffany was born on the 65th birthday of jazz musician Louis Armstrong. It also was the 66th birthday of Elizabeth Bowes-Lyon, "The Queen Mother" of current day Monarch, Queen Elizabeth. The Queen Mother is Tiffany's 33rd cousin, once removed, and Queen Elizabeth is Tiffany's 34th cousin.

Tiffany's early years were spent on glass-strewn sidewalks and in a rough neighborhood where there were five bars within a block of our house, and they never had closing hours. Her cute appearance drew the attentions of men who worked on the wharfs, painters and general drifters, some of which were graduates of the criminal justice system, or who were destined to it. Her last year in that neighborhood was her kindergarten year in the public school. The students were almost all African American children. She was one of two Anglo students in her class.

The public swimming pools in New Orleans were closed in those days, a plan to avoid racial conflicts and tensions. We joined the Jewish Community Center, so swimming lessons would be available to our children. This was an excellent organization located on Saint Charles Avenue in Uptown New Orleans and we received wonderful treatment. This was our first experience relating to people of the Jewish community, and it was very positive.

The family's St. Louis experience, beginning in March, 1972, provided a wonderful environment for her. Flynn Park Elementary School was a model school, and Tiffany excelled there in many ways. Actually, the family lived in a St. Louis suburb, named University City. Her spirit of competitiveness began to show when she became a member of the coed soccer team. I believe these were the years of the 4th through the 6th grades. Flynn Park School was virtually all Anglo students. However, the student body was 50% Jewish families. This was the family's second exposure to Jewish ways and people to any great extent, which was a very positive experience.

Junior high experience was not as appreciated as the Flynn Park times. There were many students from culturally and economically deprived families and 80% of the students were African American, many from welfare families. The academic standards were greatly reduced. For example, all the accelerated advanced courses were discontinued, because they were populated almost exclusively by Anglo students. This situation was a key reason that Tiffany and her brother, Taylor, were withdrawn from that junior high school and put into

private schools.

Tiffany attended Visitation High School in Saint Louis County, Missouri, a Roman Catholic school, before the family moved to Dallas. In Dallas, at Highland Park High School, she accomplished excellent grades and was a member of the Lads & Lassies Chorale group (quite an elite group at the school). Tiffany learned to play some musical instruments, and took small rolls in community Broadway musicals, such as "Fiddler on the Roof." In fact, that musical was an occasion where all five members of our family had roles.

Tiffany began college at the University of Texas at Austin. She transferred a number of times during her college career, and attended various community colleges in the summers, a total of five in number. She graduated as an Accounting Major at the University of Texas at Dallas, with a cum laude designation. Tiffany's whole educational career was accentuated with consistently high grades.

After college, she earned her Certified Public Accounting status passing all three sections in a first-time setting. This was somewhat unusual. Often applicants take the three sections separately.

She was married in 1987 to Stephen Howard Dunham, whose family was from the church where I was on its staff. They had no children and divorced in 1990.

Tiffany worked in Dallas initially at Coopers and Lybrand, one of the major national accounting firms. Later that firm moved her to Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, where she became a member of the Shady Side Presbyterian Church.

She returned to Dallas, having been recruited by Ryan and Collins, a new CPA firm whose principals she had know earlier at Coopers and Lybrand. That firm worked exclusively in sales tax problem resolvement and represented its clients before state boards. These presentations were in order to document why no fine or a much lesser fine should be levied their client in that case. The firm was remunerated usually as a percent of whatever it saved the client. Both the firm and Tiffany did very well, and I called them accounting bounty hunters!

On October 20, 1997, providence had Tiffany meet Steve Odis (Steve) Westmoreland. It was the right combination for the two of them. Actually, 12 days after meeting (November 1), they decided to become married, and they married March 21(151 days later) the following year. We did not know at the time, but subsequent research has allowed us to realize that Steve and Tiffany are 34th cousins, four times removed to each other before they married! The ancestor in common for them was Eystein Glumralvarsson, a man of Norway (a Viking) who lived in the 800s AD.

Most children move out of the family house when they marry. Not so with Tiffany. She and Steve purchased the house from us, and we moved out while they were on their honeymoon! Now, you have to say that an unusual exchange took place!

After Katherine (Katie) Michelle Westmoreland, her first child, was born, and just before John (Jack) David Westmoreland arrived, she "retired" from being a high profile professional woman, and took up the higher requirements of being a domestic engineer ... a stay at home mother, raising the finest of children. Her forte in life really excelled in her role as a mother. She brought new meaning to excellence in domestic engineering as she raised her family. Of course, her husband, Steve, certainly enabled much accomplishment in their partnership in the home.

In 2001, the family moved to Southlake, Texas, a suburban community particularly convenient to the Dallas/Fort Worth Airport from where Steve flew as an American Airlines pilot. They joined the Church at the Cross, a Baptist Church nearby in Grapevine, Texas where they became quite active in participating and in giving leadership.

When Katie reached the age to be in kindergarten, Tiffany began to Home School her, which continued as Jack came along, etc. The family became connected with a large network of other Home School families which did many activities together. Ultimately all five children were students in the Westmoreland Country Day School!

In 2006, the family sold their Southlake home and leased a home in nearby Grapevine for a year, with plans to find acreage in Wise County, near where we live, and to build or buy a new home there. That was accomplished when they purchased a 29 acre place with an unfinished home, which was less than 3 miles from our home!

Subsequently, their little farm grew in activity and improvements, updating the home and becoming a working farm with as many as a couple dozen goats (some milkers), about 100 chickens, around 10 cats and I believe three dogs!

They were members of the Aurora Baptist Church for a while, then joined a large church in Southlake, Gateway Church, a church with four locations and about 25,000 members!

The Home Schooling by that time had become quite sophisticated. They attended classes one day a week under a curriculum named "Classical Conversations." It is an education in the classics of world history, with a rich integration of Christian presence in civilization. They learn foreign languages and do such radical things as to diagram sentences! The other days of the week are spent on pursuing what was introduced in the weekly class. Parents are used as instructors, and a really talented set is in this configuration. Lots of memory work is used, and the children are really quite impressive as accomplished students.

Generation 2

- Herbert Otis Westmoreland (son of Herbert Westmoreland and Martha Mineola Goolsby)
 was born on 27 Dec 1938 in Idabel, Oklahoma. He married Betty Katherine Covington
 (daughter of George Wilbur Covington and Mary Catherine Page) on 19 Aug 1960 in
 Scottsville, KY.
- 3. **Betty Katherine Covington** (daughter of George Wilbur Covington and Mary Catherine Page) was born on 11 Sep 1936 in Russellville, Kentucky.

Notes for Herbert Otis Westmoreland:

Herb grew up in Oklahoma in small towns. His parents generally operated family owned grocery stores, usually living above the store on the second floor.

Red, the nickname he was called often to match his red hair, spent most of his career working for the Federal Government in computer areas. He spent a lot of time around Leavenworth, Kansas, He now is retired, but spend the last 20 or 25 years living in Platte City, Missouri, a suburb of Kansas City.

Notes for Betty Katherine Covington:

President Franklin D. Roosevelt dedicated Boulder Dam (now Hoover Dam)by pressing a key in Washington to signal the startup of the dam'sfirst hydroelectric generator in Nevada on September 11, 1936, thevery same day that Betty was born! President Roosevelt is the 18thcousin, three times removed from Betty Covington.

Source:http://www.nytimes.com/learning/general/onthisday/20050911.html?th&emc=th

Betty was born the same year that the novel "Gone with the Wind" by Margaret Mitchell was published.

Source:http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gone with the Wind

Betty was born at home, as she tells me. She spent her life careerworking in the public schools, mostly as a Librarian. As a result, she is very good with children and does well with our fourWestmoreland grandchildren.

She and her husband, Herb, live in Platte City, Missouri, a suburb of Kansas City. They have been there over 20 years and are members of a Baptist Church where both have had leadership roles.

Generation 3

- 4. **Herbert Westmoreland** (son of Charles Robert Westmoreland and Minnie L. Cheneworth) was born on 04 Jul 1911 in Idabel, Oklahoma. He died in Mar 1983 in Brawley, California. He married **Martha Mineola Goolsby** on 22 Aug 1936 in Cooper, Bowie County, Texas.
- 5. **Martha Mineola Goolsby** was born on 05 Jan 1910 in Haworth, Oklahoma. She died in 1988 in Turlock, California.

Notes for Herbert Westmoreland:

Herbert was born on an anniversary date that not only is of patriotic significance in the birth of our nation, it was the date that our second, third, and fifth presidents died: July 4th. Presidents John Adams and Thomas Jefferson died on the 50th anniversary of the nation, July 4, 1826. President James Monroe died five years later, July 4,1831.

Source: http://www.whitehouse.gov/history/presidents/

Herbert was born the same year as Ronald Wilson Reagan, the 40th president of the United States, was born in Tampico, III.

Source:http://www.whitehouse.gov/history/presidents/rr40.html

Herbert served many roles in the First Baptist Church of Idabel, Oklahoma, including being a deacon, teacher, etc. He made his commitment to Jesus Christ in 1948, according to what he son told meFebruary 4, 2005.

Herbert moved to California about 1962 looking better economic opportunities. A drawing for the family to move was the need for teachers, which Lady Goolsby was. This was in Fireball, California.

Herbert finished his working career out there working in theCalifornia Welfare Department. They lived in Merced, California until they retire

For retirement, they remained in Merced, but purchased a pop-up tent trailer to travel. Finally, they acquired a good sized trailer camper. The final years were spent enjoying grand children as they travelled. They ended up in the Fountain of Youth Spa, at the southern tip of the Salton Sea, California. The closest town was Niland. This was a town near where General Patton trained his troops. They resided here when the both graduated to heaven within five years of each other. It was a fine and comforted last days they shared together and were blessed for their lives.

His son, Herbert (Red) tells me that his dad served in the NationalGuard, but I do not know the date span of that service, nor the locations.

Notes for Martha Mineola Goolsby:

Martha's son, Herbert Odis Westmoreland (who is the father to my son-in-law) told me that no one he could remember ever knew his mother by any other name than Lady Goolsby. It seems that she was named Martha, due to the influence of some unidentified relative.

However, shortly after that naming, there was some sort of alienation in the family, and the side liking Martha as a name was out of the picture. The family called her Lady from her earliest days as a little girl, and it was only in recent years that her son told me that they learned of her real name.

- 6. **George Wilbur Covington** (son of George Milburn Covington and Lucy Covington) was born on 31 Mar 1898 in Logan County, Kentucky. He died on 09 May 1967 in Scottsville, Kentucky. He married **Mary Catherine Page** on 25 Dec 1930 in Russellville, Kentucky.
- 7. **Mary Catherine Page** was born on 22 Aug 1907 in Logan County, Kentucky. She died in 2004 in Scottsville, Kentucky.

Notes for George Wilbur Covington:

About two months after George was born, clergyman-author NormanVincent Peale was born on May 31, 1898.

Notes for Mary Catherine Page:

Her birthday was the 166th anniversary of the date on which, in 1741, Handel began composing "The Messiah," that marvelous piece of Christian music, which was completed in a breath-taking pace by September 14.

Source:http://www.psg.com/~patf/bach/messiah.html

Generation 4

- 8. **Charles Robert Westmoreland** (son of William Westmoreland and Luvina) was born on 27 Mar 1873 in Missouri. He died on 08 Aug 1926 in Idabel, Oklahoma. He married **Minnie L. Cheneworth** (daughter of Issac Cheneworth and Martha) about 1903 in DeQueen, Arkansas.
- 9. **Minnie L. Cheneworth** (daughter of Issac Cheneworth and Martha) was born on 04 Sep 1887 in Arkansas. She died on 11 Apr 1970 in Idabel, Oklahoma.

Notes for Charles Robert Westmoreland:

Bob, as he was called, was born the year Enrico Caruso (2/25/1873 -8/2/1921), the Italian operatic tenor, was born.

Source:http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Enrico Caruso

Bob operated a restaurant for cat fish and country food in Idabel, Oklahoma.

He lived in a house with Isaac Cheneworth and his wife, Martha. It was sort of a community of folks. This is where he met and married their daughter, Minnie. Many the folks living there were railroad workers.

He ultimately died of a heart attack.

Notes for Minnie L. Cheneworth:

It is of interest that the 1920 US Census taken in Idabel, Oklahoma, the ages both of Minnie and Charles are three years younger than our familiy records reflect. You wonder whether they just told the enumerator what they thought were more attractive ages?

- 12. **George Milburn Covington** (son of Francis Marion Covington and Adelia Clark) was born on 16 Mar 1869 in Logan County, Kentucky. He died on 19 Feb 1921 in Russellville, Kentucky. He married **Lucy Covington** on 20 Oct 1893.
- 13. **Lucy Covington** was born on 31 Jan 1869 in Warren County, Kentucky (near Bowling Green). She died on 06 Jun 1947 in Louisville, Kentucky.

Generation 5

- 16. William Westmoreland was born in 1829. He died in 1900. He married Luvina.
- 17. **Luvina** was born in 1848. She died in 1883.

Notes for William Westmoreland:

William Westmoreland was born in 1829, the year that William Booth(4/10/1829 - 8/20/1912) was born, the English minister and founder of the Salvation Army. He was born the month before London's reorganized police force, which became known as Scotland Yard, went on duty onSeptember 29, 1829. The year of his birth, William Austin Burt ofMount Vernon, Michigan, received a patent for his typographer, a forerunner of the typewriter.

Sources: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_Booth http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scotland_Yard http://www.michmarkers.com/startup.asp?startpage=S0570.htm

William and Luvina are our most distant Westmoreland ancestors for whom we have names. They are the great, great grandparents of our son-in-law, Steven O. Westmoreland. We believe William and Luvina lived in Arkansas. We really have very little information about them.

"Westmoreland is Northern English. It is a regional name for someone from the former country of this name, originally named in Old English as 'Westmoringaland,' the territory of the people living west of the moors (i.e. the Pennines)."

Source: Patrick Hanks, Editor, Dictionary of American Family Names, Oxford University Press, New York, New York, 2003, Card #929.40973D554 2003 V. III, Dallas Public Library, Genealogical Section, page 601.

- 18. **Issac Cheneworth** was born about 1849 in Missouri. He married **Martha**.
- 19. Martha She died before 14 Jan 1920 in Before 1920.

Notes for Issac Cheneworth:

Isaac and Martha operated a boarding house in Idabel, Oklahoma. Itspatrons typically were railroad workers. Their daughter, Minnie, married one of the roomers, who was a

restaurant operator.

Notes for Martha:

Martha died before January 14, 1920, for the US Census in Idabel, Oklahoma indicated that her husband, Isaac, lived as a widower in thehome of their daughter and her husband, Charles Robert Westmoreland.

- 24. **Francis Marion Covington** (son of Daniel Coleman Covington and Mary Jane Robinson) was born on 24 Apr 1841. He died in Jul 1927. He married **Adelia Clark** on 22 Jun 1863.
- 25. Adelia Clark was born on 03 Aug 1843. She died in Feb 1886.

Generation 6

- 48. **Daniel Coleman Covington** (son of Francis Covington and Lucy Hughes) was born in 1818. He died in 1895. He married **Mary Jane Robinson** in 1840.
- 49. Mary Jane Robinson She died in 1890.

Generation 7

- 96. **Francis Covington** (son of Francis Covington and Lucy Strother) was born in 1793. He married **Lucy Hughes** on 21 Oct 1817.
- 97. Lucy Hughes was born about 1795.

Notes for Francis Covington:

Francis Covington, Jr. was born in 1793, the year that United StatesPresident George Washington laid the cornerstone of the U. S. Capitolon September 18, the construction start date. The cost was \$412,000.

Source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_Capitol http://www.tourofdc.org/tours/USCapitol/

Generation 8

- 192. **Francis Covington** (son of Robert Covington and Margaret Strother) was born on 04 Feb 1754. He died in 1823. He married **Lucy Strother** on 17 Nov 1774 in Orange County, Virginia.
- 193. **Lucy Strother** was born in 1752 in Culpepper County, Virginia. She died on 14 Jan 1836 in Culpepper County, Virginia.

Generation 9

- 384. **Robert Covington** (son of William Covington and Ann Coleman) was born about 1724. He married **Margaret Strother**.
- 385. **Margaret Strother** (daughter of Francis Strother and Susanna Dabney) was born in 1722 in Hanover County, Virginia.

Generation 10

768. William Covington He married Ann Coleman.

769. Ann Coleman

Notes for William Covington:

William Covington is the earliest ancestor in the Covington familyline that we have recorded. We have scant information aboutg him andhis wife, Ann Coleman.

Covington is a name of Scottish origin, a habitational name fromCovington in Lanarkshire, first being recorded in the twelth century. It also has an English origin as a habitational name from a place inHuntingdonshire (now Cambridgeshire) named Covington from an OldEnglish personal name of "Cofa" plus "ing," denoting association, plus "tun," meaning settlement.

Source: Patrick Hanks, Editor, Dictinary of American Family Names, Oxford University Press, New York, New York, 2003, Card #929.40973D554 2003 V.1, Dallas Public Library, Genealogical Section, page 378

- 770. **Francis Strother** (son of William Strother and Margaret Thornton) was born in Richmond County, Virginia. He died after 17 Apr 1751 in Culpepper County. He married **Susanna Dabney**.
- 771. Susanna Dabney She died about 1752.

Generation 11

- William Strother (son of William Strother) was born between 1665-1675 in Rappahannock
 County, Virginia. He died after 26 Jul 1726 in King George County, Virginia. He married Margaret Thornton.
- Margaret Thornton (daughter of Frances Thornton and Alice Savage) was born on 02 Apr
 1678 in Richmond County, Virginia (?). She died about 1727.

Generation 12

308 William Strother

0.

- Frances Thornton (son of William Thornton and Frances Robinson) was born in 1651. He died about 1726. He married Alice Savage.
- Alice Savage (daughter of Anthony Savage) was born about 1650. She died in 1701.

3.

Generation 13

616 **William Thornton** He married **Frances Robinson**.

4.

616 Frances Robinson

5.

616 Anthony Savage He died on 05 Jun 1695.

6.

Generation 14

123 28.	William Thornton He married Wertby.
123 29.	Wertby
123 32.	Anthony Savage He married Elizabeth Hall.
123 33.	Elizabeth Hall
	Generation 15
246 56.	Francis Thornton He married Joan.
246 57.	Joan
246 64.	Francis Savage He died about 1558. He married Anne Sheldon.
246 65.	Anne Sheldon
	Generation 16
493 12.	Robert Thornton He married Jane Laton.
493 13.	Jane Laton
493 28.	Christopher Savage He married Anne Lygon.
493 29.	Anne Lygon
	Generation 17
986 24.	William Thornton He married Agnes Aldborough.
986 25.	Agnes Aldborough
986 56.	Christopher Savage He married Anne Stanley.
986 57.	Anne Stanley

Generation 18		
197 250.	Filius Aldborough He married Agnes Plumpton.	
197 251.	Agnes Plumpton	
197 312.	John Savage He married Catherine Stanley.	
197 313.	Catherine Stanley	
	Generation 19	
394 502.	Philina Plumpton He married Elizabeth Stapleton.	
394 503.	Elizabeth Stapleton	
394 624.	John Savage He married Elioner Brereton.	
394 625.	Elioner Brereton	
	Generation 20	
789 006.	Bryon Stapleton He married Agnes Goddard.	
789 007.	Agnes Goddard	
789 248.	John Savage He married Mauid Swinnerton.	
789	Mauid Swinnerton	
249.	Notes for John Savage:	
	Refer to Ancestral Roots of Certain American Colonists Who Came to America before 1700, Seventh Edition, by Frederick Lewis Weis(Baltimore, 1992), Line 32, Generation 33 for continuation of line to William I of England, father of Henry I of England, and ancestor of both Griffith BOWEN and Margaret FLEMING.	
Generation 21		
157 801 4.	Filius Goddard He married Matilta de Neville.	
157 801	Matilta de Neville	

5.	
	Generation 22
315 603 0.	Ralph de Neville He married Margaret Stafford.
315 603 1.	Margaret Stafford
	Generation 23
631 206 2.	Hugh Stafford He married Philliappi Beauchamp.
631 206 3.	Philliappi Beauchamp
	Generation 24
126 241 24.	Ralph de Stafford (son of Edmund de Stafford and Margaret Basseet) was born on 24 Sep 1301 in Tunbridge, Stafford, England. He died on 31 Aug 1372 in Tunbridge Castle. He married Margaret de Audley (daughter of Hugh de Audley and Margaret de Clare) before 06 Jul 1336 in Drayton, Staffordshire, England.
126 241 25.	Margaret de Audley (daughter of Hugh de Audley and Margaret de Clare) was born in 1318 in Stafford, England. She died on 07 Sep 1349 in England.
25.	Notes for Margaret de Audley:
	Margaret is related to the second United States President, John Adams, as his wife was her second cousin, fourteen times removed. That meansthat that their son, the sixth President of the United States, JohnQuincy Adams, is Margaret's second cousin, fifteen times removed. Margaret is my 15th cousin, 18 times removed.
	Margaret is a great granddaughter to English King Edward I and hisfirst wife, Eleanor of Castile.
	Generation 25
252 482 48.	Edmund de Stafford He married Margaret Basseet.
252 482 49.	Margaret Basseet
252 482 50.	Hugh de Audley (son of Hugh de Audley and Isolde Mortimer) was born in 1289 in Stratton Audley, Oxfordshire County, England. He died on 10 Nov 1347. He married Margaret de Clare (daughter of Gilbert de Clare and Joan Plantagenet) on 28 Apr 1317 in Windsor Castle, Berkshire, England.

- Margaret de Clare (daughter of Gilbert de Clare and Joan Plantagenet) was born in 1298 in Gloucestershire, England. She died on 13 Apr 1342 in France.
- 51.

Notes for Margaret de Clare:

Margaret de Clare is the 15th cousin, 18 times removed to me.

Generation 26

Hugh de Audley was born in 1250 in Stratton Audley, Oxfordshire County, England. He married Isolde Mortimer.

00.

Isolde Mortimer (daughter of Edmund de Mortimer) was born in 1270 in Wigmore,
 Herefordshire County, England. She died in 1338.

01.

- Gilbert de Clare (son of Richard de Clare and Margaret de Lacy) was born on 02 Sep 1243
 in Christchurch Castle, Hampshire. He died on 07 Dec 1295 in Monmouth Castle,
- 02. Monmouthshire. He married **Joan Plantagenet** (daughter of Edward I and Eleanor of Castile) about 30 Apr 1290 in Westminster Abbey.
- Joan Plantagenet (daughter of Edward I and Eleanor of Castile) was born in May 1271 in Akko (Acre), Hazofan, Palestine. She died on 23 Apr 1307 in Suffolk, England.

03.

Notes for Gilbert de Clare:

Gilbert bore two titles, the Earl of Gloucester and the Earl ofHertford. His second wife is Joan Plantagenet, my 19th cousin, 14times removed.

"Gilbert was nicknamed the 'Red Earl' after the colour of his hair. He was the eldest son of Richard de Clare, Earl of Gloucester & Hertford and Margaret de Lacy, the Countess of Lincoln. After hisfather's death in 1262, Gilbert, still a minor, inherited vast estates in the West Country, the Welsh Marches and Ireland. He took possession the following year.

"During Simon de Montfort's Rebellion of 1263-4, Gilbert was,initially, a keen supporter and he and his brother were knighted bythe Earl shortly before the Battle of Lewes. However, being the twomost powerful men in the country, a clash was inevitable. Gilbert wasgreedy for the spoils of victory, including additional authority inthe government and a degree of independence for his vast estates. Hetherefore stood up as a defender of the 'Provisions of Oxford' - hisfather's initiative to establish a proto-parliament - and inferredthat De Montfort's despotism was betraying its principles. In May1265, Gilbert split from the De Montfort party and allied himself withthe newly escaped Prince Edward, for whom he won the Battle ofEvesham. However, while the surviving followers of De Montfort thoughthim a traitor, Gilbert's relationship with the Royalist party washardly less strained.

"He became a champion for disinherited rebel sympathizers andprotested at the lack of implementation of the 'Provisions of Oxford', even though the Royal victory rendered these redundant. Withconstitutional restraint and decency as his watch words, Gilbert seemsto have hoped to exercise a detached role in English political life. When he found this impossible, he raised an army in 1267 and took overthe city of London. His grievances were then placed before thearbitration of Richard, Earl of Cornwall. To all the World, Gilbertthence appeared reconciled with the establishment, but discontent wasstill festering.

"Gilbert took up the cause of the Cross in 1268 and promised to go onCrusade with Prince Edward two years later, although this never cameto fruition. The following year, he succeeded in securing therestoration of lands to those who had been disinherited.

Thereafter,however, his political autonomy was rendered impotent by firm Royalcontrol and he remained loyal to King Edward I. Having been divorcedfrom Henry II's hypochondriac half-niece, Alice De Lusignan, in 1271, Gilbert remarried, in 1290, to Edward I's daughter, Joan of Acre. Themarriage contract stipulated his vast estates could only be inherited by their descendents, thus greatly increasing the chances of themreverting to the Crown (which, indeed, occurred in 1314). Gilbert was thus bound still more closely to the Royal Court; although, as late as 1292, he was being tried over disputed rights in the Welsh Marches. Heonly gained his freedom and the restoration of his lands, after paying fine of 10,000 marks (£6,666.13s.4d).

"Gilbert De Clare spent his life attempting to establish himself in anindependent political role from which he might negotiate his loyaltyto the Crown. Whatever his actual view of the 'Provisions of Oxford',he played off one faction against another in the Civil War, exploitingthe situation as an easy means of pursuing his own personal agenda. Inthis, he ultimately failed and was subsequently humiliated, largelybecause of his own political incompetence, but also due to theincrease of Royal power after the Baronial Wars. In the end, althoughhe remained at Court, he had become a relic of a bygone age. EarlGilbert died in December 1295, at the age of fifty-two, and was buriedin Tewkesbury Abbey (Gloucestershire); although his widow may haveburied his heart in the church at their favoured Berkshire manor ofLong Wittenham, where the two often stayed when attended the King atOxford and Woodstock."

Source for this article:http://www.berkshirehistory.com/bios/gdeclare.html

Notes for Joan Plantagenet:

Joan Plantagenet is the 14th cousin, 19 times removed to me. Theancestor in common is Gor Thorrason, the Red King, a seventh centuryNorwegian Viking. He is Joan's 19th great grandfather and he is my38th great grandfather.

"Joan of Acre was a daughter of King Edward I of England and his firstwife, Eleanor of Castile. She is not to be confused with Joan of Arc.

"Joan got her name from her birthplace, Akko (Acre), Hazofan, Palestine. It differentiates her from an earlier Joan born to thecouple, who died in infancy. Joan of Acre was born while her parentswere traveling to the Middle East on the Ninth Crusade. At least partof her childhood she spent in France with her maternal grandmother, Jeanne de Dammartin, Countess of Ponthieu. She was betrothed as achild to Hartman, son of King Rudolph I of Germany, but he died in 1282 after drowning in the Rhine.

"In 1290, at Westminster Abbey, Joan married Gilbert de Clare, 7thEarl of Hertford. He was nearly thirty years her senior. They had fourchildren.

"Following her husband's death in 1295, Joan clandestinely marriedRalph de Monthermer, 1st Baron Monthermer, a knight in her household,in 1297. Her father, King Edward I, was enraged by this lowly secondmarriage, especially since he was arranging a marriage for her to anItalian nobleman. He had Monthermer thrown in prison, and Joan had toplead for the release of her husband. According to the St. Albanschronicler, she told her father, "No one sees anything wrong if agreat earl marries a poor and lowly woman. Why should there beanything wrong if a countess marries a young and promising man?" Atlast her father relented, released Monthermer from prison in August1297, and allowed him to hold the title of Earl of Gloucester andHereford during Joan's lifetime. Monthermer and Joan had fourchildren.

"Joan died in childbirth in 1307 at the manor of Clare in Suffolk, England, a family possession, and was buried at the Augustinian priorythere. Her child was stillborn. Miracles were said to occur at hergrave, especially the healing of toothache, back pain, and fever."

Sources

- * Costain, Thomas. A History of the Plantagenets, Vol III.
- * Underhill, Frances A. For Her Good Estate, 1999.
- * http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Joan of England, Countess of Gloucester

Generation 27

100 993 002.	Edmund de Mortimer
100 993 004.	Richard de Clare He married Margaret de Lacy.
100 993 005.	Margaret de Lacy
100 993 006.	Edward I (son of Henry III and Eleanor of Provence) was born on 17 Jun 1239 in Westminster. He died on 07 Jul 1307 in Burch-on-Sands, Cumberland, near Carlisle. He married Eleanor of Castile (daughter of Ferdinand) on 18 Oct 1254 in Las Huelgas, Castile
100 993 007.	Eleanor of Castile (daughter of Ferdinand) was born in 1244. She died on 28 Nov 1290 in Grantham, England.

Notes for Edward I:

Edward I became king of England in 1272. As king, he conquered Wales and tried to gain control of Scotland. Edward belonged to the Plantagenet family of English rulers. He's my 13th cousin, 20 times removed through my Mother's family line. He also is, in my father's family line, the 11th great grandfather of the 1st husband of Alice Carpenter, my seven times great grandmother.

In addition, King Edward I is the 24th great grandfather of our son-in-law, Steven O. Westmoreland, meaning that our daughter married well into Royalty! Steve and Tiffany are thirty-fourth cousins, four times remove

Edward I was born in Westminster (now part of London). He succeeded his father, Henry III, as king. Edward fought two wars against the Welsh, one in 1277 and another in 1282 and 1283. He conquered Wales in the second war. In 1301, Edward gave the title Prince of Wales to his son, who later became Edward II. Since then, it has be come customary for English monarchs to give the title to their oldest son.

Edward was called "Longshanks" due to his great height. He was perhaps the most successful of the medieval monarchs. The first twenty years of his reign marked a high point of cooperation between crown and community. In these years, Edward made great strides in reforming government, consolidating territory, and defining foreign policy. He possessed the strength his father lacked and reasserted royal prerogative.

Edward fathered many children as well: sixteen by Eleanor of Castille before her death in 1290, and three more by Margaret. In addition, there supposedly is an illegitimate child as well.

Edward held to the concept of community, and although at time was scrupulously aggressive, ruled with the general welfare of his subjects in mind. He perceived the crown as judge of the proper course of action for the realm and its chief legislator; royal authority was granted by law and should be fully utilized for the public good, but that same law also

granted protection to the king's subjects. A king should rule with the advice and consent of those whose rights were in question. The level of interaction between king and subject allowed Edward considerable leeway in achieving his goals.

Edward I added to the bureaucracy initiated by Henry II to increase his effectiveness as sovereign. He expanded the administration into four principal parts: the Chancery, the Exchequer, the Household, and the Council. The Chancery researched and created legal documents while the Exchequer received and issued money, scrutinized the accounts of local officials, and kept financial records. These two departments operated within the king's authority, but independently from his personal rule, prompting Edward to follow the practice of earlier kings in developing the Household, a mobile court of clerks and advisers that traveled with the king. The King's Council was the most vital segment of the four. It consisted of his principal ministers, trusted judges and clerks, a select group of magnates, who also followed the king. The Council dealt with matters of great importance to the realm and acted as a court for cases of national importance.

Edward's forays into the refinement of law and justice had important consequences in decreasing feudal practice. The Statute of Gloucester(1278) curbed expansion of large private holdings and established the principle that all private franchises were delegated by, and subordinate to, the crown. Royal jurisdiction became supreme: the Exchequer developed a court to hear financial disputes, the Court of Common Pleas arose to hear property disputes, and the Court of the King's Bench addressed criminal cases in which the king had a vested interest. Other statutes prohibited vassals from giving their lands to the church, encouraged primogeniture, and established the king as the sole person who could make a man his feudal vassal. In essence, Edward set the stage for land to become an article of commerce.

Edward concentrated on an aggressive foreign policy. A major campaign to control Llywelyn Gruff of Wales began in 1277 and lasted until Llywelyn's death in 1282. Wales was divided into shires, English civil law was introduced, and the region was administered by appointed justices. In the manner of earlier monarchs, Edward constructed many new castles to ensure his conquest.

In 1301, the king's eldest son was named Prince of Wales, a title still granted to all first-born male heirs to the crown. Edward found limited success in extending English influence into Ireland: he introduced a Parliament in Dublin and increased commerce in a few coastal towns, but most of the country was controlled by independent barons or Celtic tribal chieftains. He retained English holdings in France through diplomacy, but was drawn into war by the incursions of Philip IV in Gascony. He negotiated a peace with France in 1303 and retained those areas England held before the war.

In 1292, Edward chose John de Balliol as ruler of Scotland from among several men who claimed the Scottish throne. Edward demanded that Balliol pay homage to him. But this demand humiliated the Scottish people, causing them to revolt. In 1296, Balliol joined the rebel forces, but Edward forced him to surrender. Edward then took to England the Stone of Scone, the stone upon which Scottish kings had been given royal power for hundreds of years. He placed the stone in Westminster Abbey, where English monarchs were crowned.

But the Scots continued to fight England. They were led first by William Wallace and then by Robert Bruce. Bruce was crowned king of Scotland in 1306. Edward died while on his way to subdue the new king. This story was made known in the public's mind with the 1995 Mel Gibson theater movie, "Braveheart." This movie decidedly put Edward in a bad light, as it's intent was to glorify the cause of the Scotts and their hero, William Wallace.

Edward's Scottish policy resulted in hostile relations between the English and the Scots for the next 250 years. It also led to an alliance between Scotland and France. As a result, England had to fight both countries at the same time. Edward's need for money to supply his army and government led him to call Parliaments more often than had any previous king. These Parliaments consisted of representatives of the nobility, the church, and common

people. In return for grants of money from Parliament, Edward agreed that taxes could be levied only with Parliament's consent. He also sponsored laws on more topics than any previous king.

Magna Carta of 1215 issued by Edward's grandfather, King John, did not end the struggle between British Kings and the barons. Neither side intended to abide by the charter completely. Pope Innocent III canceled the charter after King John requested it, and war broke out immediately. After John's death in 1216, however, his son Henry III and later English kings promised to abide by the charter. The most famous of these promises was that of Edward I in 1297. Through these promises, the charter came to be recognized as part of the fundamental law of England. King Edward's edition was 37 sections or paragraphs, all of which became what is called codified law. Amazingly, ten of those paragraphs remain today in English legislation.

Edward I was not the first English king named Edward. People in England give numbers to their kings and queens with the same name only if the monarchs ruled after the Norman Conquest of 1066. There were three Anglo-Saxon kings named Edward who ruled England before 1066: Edward the Elder (870?-924), Edward the Martyr (963?-978?), and Edward the Confessor (1002?-1066).

Source Contributor: John Gillingham, Senior Lecturer, London School of Economics and Political Science, University. of London, as published in the World Book Encyclopedia, 1996. Additional source:Encyclopedia Britannica, web sitehttp://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/mon30.html

The only copy of the Magna Carta issued by Edward that was allowed out of England belonged to H. Ross Perot, Sr., a Dallas, Texas resident and friend of this writer. Actually, it belonged to the Perot Foundation, which he created. Ross generously made a reproduction for my own records. Today, I have handed it down to my son, Taylor Marcus Sharpe.

There are 17 copies of the various issues of the Magna Carta which survive today. Four from the rein of King John I, eight from that of Henry III, and five from Edward I. Fifteen remain securely in England, one is in Australia and one is the in America. Mr. Perot purchased the 1297 edition of King Edward in 1984 for a reported \$1.5 million. It was loaned virtually all the time of his Foundation's ownership to the United States Archives. It was displayed occasionally in Independence Hall, Philadelphia. The rest of the time mostly was on display in the National Archives Building in Washington DC, along side of the Declaration of Independence.

However, in 2008, the Perot Foundation auctioned the Magna Carta for \$21.5 million to an anonymous buyer. The Perot Foundation is the extensive source of philanthropy directed by Mr. Perot, benefiting scores of causes. This sale funded support for more good causes. Later, it was reported that the purchase was by Mr. David Rubenstein, the managing director of the Carlyle Group. The document has been kept on display at the National Archives.

Generation 28

- 201 Henry III (son of John and Isabella of Angouleme) was born on 01 Oct 1207 in Winchester
- 986 Castle. He died on 16 Nov 1272 in Palace of Westminister. He married Eleanor of
- 012. **Provence** (daughter of Raymond Berenger) on 14 Jan 1236 in Cantebury Cathedral, Kent, England.
- 201 **Eleanor of Provence** (daughter of Raymond Berenger) was born in 1217. She died on 24
- 986 Jun 1291 in Amesbury (or possibly June 25).

013.

Notes for Henry III:

English King Henry III (reigned 1216-1272), King John's son, was only nine when he became King. By 1227, when he assumed power from his regent, order had been restored, based on his acceptance of Magna Carta. However, the King's failed campaigns in France (1230 and1242), his choice of friends and advisers, together with the cost of his scheme to make one of his younger sons King of Sicily and help the Pope against the Holy Roman Emperor, led to further disputes with the barons and united opposition in Church and State. Although Henry was extravagant and his tax demands were resented, the King's accounts show a list of many charitable donations and payments for building works (including the rebuilding of Westminster Abbey which began in 1245).

Henry is my 11th cousin, 22 times removed on my Mother's side of the family In additional, he is the 12th great grandfather of Edward Southworth, the first husband of Alice Carpenter, my seven times great grandmother, who became the wife of Gov. William Bradford, each for a second marriage, after each had been widowed.

The Provisions of Oxford (1258) and the Provisions of Westminster(1259) were attempts by the nobles to define common law in the spirit of Magna Carta, control appointments and set up an aristocratic council. Henry tried to defeat them by obtaining papal absolution from his oaths, and enlisting King Louis XI's help. Henry renounced the Provisions in 1262, and war broke out. The barons, under their leader, Simon de Montfort, were initially successful and even captured Henry. However, Henry escaped, joined forces with the lords of the Marches (on the Welsh border), and Henry finally defeated and killed de Montfort at the Battle of Evesham in 1265. Royal authority was restored by the Statute of Marlborough (1267), in which the King also promised to uphold Magna Carta and some of the Provisions of Westminster.

(Source: http://www.royal.gov.uk/history/index.htm)

Henry III, the first monarch to be crowned in his minority, inherited the throne at age nine. His reign began immersed in the rebellion created by his father, King John. London and most of the southeast were in the hands of the French Dauphin Louis and the northern regions were under the control of rebellious barons - only the midlands and southwest were loyal to the boy king. The barons, however, rallied under Henry's first regent, William the Marshall, and expelled the French Dauphin in 1217. William the Marshall governed until his death in 1219; Hugh de Burgh, the last of the justiciars to rule with the power of a king, governed until Henry came to the throne in earnest at age twenty-five.

A variety of factors coalesced in Henry's reign to plant the first seeds of English nationalism. Throughout his minority, the barons held firm to the ideal of written restrictions on royal authority and reissued Magna Carta several times. The nobility wished to bind the king to same feudal laws under which they were held. The emerging class of free men also demanded the same protection from the king's excessive control. Barons, nobility, and free men began viewing England as a community rather than a mere aggregation of independent manors, villages, and outlying principalities. In addition to there strictions outlined in Magna Carta, the barons asked to be consulted in matters of state and called together as a Great Council. Viewing themselves as the natural counselors of the king, they sought control over the machinery of government, particularly in the appointment of chief government positions. The Exchequer and the Chancery were separated from the rest of the government, to decrease the king's chances of ruling irresponsibly.

Nationalism, such as it was at this early stage, manifested in the form of opposition to Henry's actions. He infuriated the barons by granting favors and appointments to foreigners rather than the English nobility. Peter des Roches, the Bishop of Winchester and Henry's prime educator, introduced a number of Frenchmen from Poitou into the government; many Italians entered into English society through Henry's close ties to the papacy. His reign coincided with an expansion of papal power. Then the Church became, in effect, a massive European monarchy and the Church became as creative as it was excessive in extorting

money from England. England was expected to assume a large portion of financing the myriad officials employed throughout Christendom as well as providing employment and parishes for Italians living abroad. Henry's acquiescence to the demands of Rome initiated a backlash of protest from his subjects: laymen were denied opportunity to be nominated for vacant ecclesiastical offices and clergymen lost any chance of advancement.

Matters came to a head in 1258. Henry levied extortionate taxes to pay for debts incurred through war with Wales, failed campaigns in France, and an extensive program of ecclesiastical building. Inept diplomacy and military defeat led Henry to sell his hereditary claims to all the Angevin possessions in France, except Gascony. When he assumed the considerable debts of the papacy in its fruitless war with Sicily, his barons demanded sweeping reforms and the king was in no position to offer resistance. Henry was forced to agree to the Provisions of Oxford, a document placing the barons in virtual control of the realm. A council of fifteen men, comprised of both the king's supporters and detractors, effected a situation whereby Henry could nothing, without the council's knowledge and consent. The magnates handled every level of government with great unity initially but gradually succumbed to petty bickering; the Provisions of Oxfordre mained in force for only vears. Henry reasserted his authority and denied the Provisions, resulting in the outbreak of civil war in 1264.Edward, Henry's eldest son, led the king's forces with the opposition commanded by Simon de Montfort, Henry's brother-in-law. At the Battle of Lewes, in Sussex, de Montfort defeated Edward and captured both king and son - and found himself in control of the government.

Simon de Montfort held absolute power after subduing Henry, but was a champion of reform. The nobility supported him, because of his royalties and belief in the Provisions of Oxford. De Montfort, with two close associates, selected a council of nine (whose function was similar to the earlier council of fifteen), and ruled in the king's name. De Montfort recognized the need to gain the backing of smaller landowners and prosperous townsfolk: in 1264, he summoned knights from each shire in addition to the normal high churchmen and nobility to a nearly pre-Parliament, and in 1265 invited burgesses from selected towns. Although Parliament as an institution was yet to be formalized, the latter session was a precursor to both the elements of Parliament: the House of Lords and the House of Commons.

Later in 1265, de Montfort lost the support of one of the most powerful barons, the Earl of Gloucester, and Edward also managed to escape. The two gathered an army and defeated de Montfort at the Battle of Evasham. Worcestershire de Montfort was slain and Henry was released; Henry resumed control of the throne but, for the remainder of his reign, Edward exercised the real power of the throne in his father's stead. The old king, after a long reign of fifty-six years, died in 1272. Although a failure as a politician and soldier, his reign was significant for defining the English monarchical position until the end of the fifteenth century: kingship limited by law.

Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/mon29.html

Notes for Eleanor of Provence:

The daughter of Raymond Berengar, count of Provence, Eleanor wasmarried to Henry in 1236. She was a vigorous and incisive woman andhad much influence on her husband, as did her unpopular relatives andother foreign courtiers who followed her to England. During theascendancy of Simon de Montfort in 1264?65, Eleanor raised mercenariesin France for her husband?s cause. She was dispatched to a convent in1286 but was sometimes consulted by her son, Edward I.

Source:http://www.bartleby.com/65/el/EleanorP.html

Ferdinand

201 986

014.

Generation 29

- 403 **John** (son of Henry II and Eleanor of Aquitaine) was born on 24 Dec 1167 in Beaumont
- 972 Palace, Oxford, England. He died on 18 Oct 1216 in Newark Castle, Nottinghamshire. He
- 024. married **Isabella of Angouleme** (daughter of Aymer Taillefer) on 24 Aug 1200 in Bordeaux Cathedral.
- 403 **Isabella of Angouleme** (daughter of Aymer Taillefer) was born in 1188. She died on 04 Jun 1246.

025.

Notes for John:

John, known as John Lackland, is remembered as one of England's worst kings. Perhaps the most historically significant event occurring out of King John's rein was his issuance of the Magna Carta. It occurred in spite of him ... not because of him trying to advance some good. John is my 10th cousin, 23 times removed. He is also the 13th great grandfather of Edward Southworth, the first husband of my seven times great grandmother, Alice Carpenter. He is my 21st step great grandfather.

It is of Christian interest to me that King John of Lackland appointed Stephen Langton Archbishop of Canterbury on May 15, 1213. Stephen Langton (born about 1150? died July 9, 1228) is believed to be the first person to divide the Bible into defined chapters. While Cardinal Hugo de Sancto Caro is also known to come up with a systematic division of the Bible (between 1244 and 1248), it is Langton's arrangement of books and chapters that remains in use today. So, though our family actually did not give chapter divisions for today's Bible, it was one of our family who appointed the man who did so, and it is a pleasure to claim that connection to an act of spiritual significance.

John was born on Christmas Eve 1167. His parents drifted apart after his birth; his youth was divided between his eldest brother Henry's house, where he learned the art of knighthood, and the house of his father's justiciar, Ranulf Glanvil, where he learned the business of government. As the fourth child, inherited lands were not available to him, giving rise to his nickname, Lackland. His first marriage lasted but ten years and was fruitless, but his second wife, Isabella of Angouleme, bore him two sons and three daughters. He also had an illegitimate daughter, Joan, who married Llywelyn the Great, Ruler of All Wales, from which the Tudor line of monarchs was descended. The survival of the English government during John's reign is a testament to the reforms of his father, as John taxed the system socially, economically, and judicially.

Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/mon28.html

John was unpredictable and often cruel, but he showed administrative ability. He improved methods of tax collection and financial record keeping, and strengthened the courts of law, which his father had greatly enhanced. He was the youngest son of King Henry II. In 1177, Henry made John Lord of Ireland. In 1199, John succeeded his brotherRichard the Lion-Hearted as king of England, and, in France, as Duke of Normandy, Duke of Aquitaine, and Count of Anjou. John's nephewArthur claimed the right to succeed Richard. John quarreled over hisFrench territories with Arthur and King Philip II of France. Philip declared a war against England that began in 1202. The war was fought off and on throughout the rest of John's reign. John's mismanagement--and rumors he murdered Arthur--angered French barons who had been loyal to John and led to the loss of most English holdings in France.

John disagreed with Pope Innocent III over who should become archbishop of Canterbury. In 1208, the pope placed England under an interdict, which banned church services throughout the country. John was excommunicated the next year. His dictatorial behavior stirred discontent among the English barons. John feared his barons would revolt. To

avoid this, he settled his argument with the pope in 1213. The pope then supported John, in return for liberties granted the church. But many English barons and some clergy revolted anyway when the king's plans to reconquer the lost territories in France failed. On June, 15, 1215, John grudgingly approved the settlement that became known as Magna Carta. It placed the king under English law and checked his power.

The Magna Carta (pronounced MAG nuh KAHR tuh) is a document that marked a decisive step forward in the development of constitutional government and legal ideas in England. In later centuries, much of the rest of the world also benefited from it because many countries followed English models in creating their own governments. The countries include the United States and Canada. The Latin words Magna Carta mean Great Charter.

English barons forced King John to approve the charter in June 1215 atRunnymede, southwest of London. In the charter, the king granted many rights to the English aristocracy. The ordinary English people gained little. But many years later, Magna Carta became a model for those who demanded democratic government and individual rights for all. Inits own time, the greatest value of Magna Carta was that it limited royal power and made it clear that even the king had to obey the law.Of course, this dramatic change in English governance benefited only the landed aristocracy (the Barons) and not really the common man.The common man would have to wait till later develops in governing history to see more of the freedoms that we expect to experience in everyday life today.

Reasons for the charter. From the Norman invasion of England in 1066through the 1100's, most of the kings who ruled England were able and strong. They usually tried to govern justly and respected feudal law. Under feudal law, nobles called barons received land in return for military and other services to the king. Law and custom established the barons' duties and what was expected of the king. But there was no actual control over the king's power. When John became king in1199, he exercised his power even more forcefully than earlier kings. He demanded more military service than they did. He sold royal positions to the highest bidders. He demanded larger amounts of money without consulting the barons, which was contrary to feudal custom. He decided cases according to his wishes, and people who lost cases in his court had to pay crushing penalties.

English barons and church leaders began to express dissatisfaction with John's rule early in his reign. Their unhappiness grew when he lost most of the English possessions in France in warfare lasting from1202 to 1206. In 1213, a group met at St. Albans, near London, and drew up a list of demands based in part on the coronation charter ofHenry I, who had been king from 1100 to 1135. After John lost an important battle against France at Bouvines (in what is now western Belgium) in 1214, civil war broke out in England. John saw that he could not defeat his opponents' army, and so he agreed to a set of articles on June 15, 1215. Four days later, the articles were engrossed (written out in legal form) as a royal charter. Copies of the charter were distributed throughout the kingdom.

Promises in the charter. Magna Carta contained 63 articles, most of which pledged the king to uphold feudal customs. These articles chiefly benefited the barons and other landholders. One article granted the church freedom from royal interference. A few articles guaranteed rights to residents of towns. Ordinary free people and peasants were hardly mentioned in the charter, even though they made up by far the largest part of England's population.

Some articles that in 1215 applied only to feudal landholders later became important to all the people. For example, the charter stated that the king could make no special demands for money without the consent of the barons. Later, this provision was used to support the argument that no tax should be raised without the consent of Parliament.

Still other articles became foundations for modern justice. One article says that the king will not sell, deny, or delay justice. Another says that no freeman shall be imprisoned, deprived of property, exiled, or destroyed, except by the lawful judgment of his peers (equals) or by the law of the land. The idea of due process of law, including trial by jury, developed from

these articles. InJohn's time, however, there was no such thing as trial by jury in criminal cases.

The charter tried to make the king keep his promises by establishing a council of barons. If the king violated the charter and ignored warnings of the council, it could raise an army to force the king to live by the charter's provisions. But these measures were unsuccessful.

The charter issuance in 1215 of the Magna Carta did not end the struggle between King John and the barons. Neither side intended to abide by the charter completely. Pope Innocent III canceled the charter at the king's request, and war broke out immediately. After King John's death in 1216, however, his son Henry III and later English kings promised to abide by the charter, actually issuing several copies over the years. The most famous of these promises was that of Edward I in 1297. Through these promises, the charter came to be recognized as part of the fundamental law of England. This was chiefly the version issued by King John's grandson, Edward I

Much later, in the 1600's, members of parliament used Magna Carta to rally support in their struggle against the strong rule of the Stuart kings. These lawmakers came to view the charter as a constitutional check on royal power. They cited it as a legal support for the argument that there could be no laws or taxation without the consent of Parliament. These members of Parliament used the charter to demand guarantees of trial by jury, safeguards against unfair imprisonment, and other rights.

In the 1700's, Sir William Blackstone, a famous lawyer, set down these ideals as legal rights of the people in his famous Commentaries on theLaws of England. Also in the 1700's, colonists carried these English ideals on legal and political rights to America. The ideals eventually became part of the framework of the Constitution of the United States.

Four originals of King John's 1215 charter remain. Two are in theBritish Library in London, one in Salisbury Cathedral, and one inLincoln Cathedral. For many years, the document was commonly known asMagna Charter. But in 1946, the British government officially adopted the Latin spelling, Magna Carta.

Sources

World Book Encyclopedia Contributor: Emily Zack Tabuteau, Ph.D., Associate Professor. of History, Michigan State University.

Magna Carta and the Idea of Liberty. Ed. by James C. Holt. Krieger,1982. First published in 1972.

Swindler, William F. Magna Carta: Legend and Legacy. Bobbs, 1965.

Contributor: Emily Zack Tabuteau, Ph.D., Associate Professor. of History, Michigan State University.

As history turned out, King John's son and grandson, both English Kings, issued other versions of the Magna Carta with essentially the same effect. In total, the three generations of Kings issued 17 Magna Carta's, all of which are still preserved. Fifteen are in various British institutions, one is in Australia and one is in America, previously owned by the Perot Foundation of Mr. H. Ross Perot, Sr., an acquaintance of mine and a member of the church where I was an administrator for 22 years prior to retirement. The Perot Foundation sold it's copy for \$23.5 million, having originally purchased it a decade earlier for \$1.5 million.

The Angevin family feuds profoundly marked John. He and Richard clashed in 1184 following Richard's refusal to honor his father's wishes surrender Aquitane to John. The following year Henry II sent John to rule Ireland, but John alienated both the native Irish and the transplanted Anglo-Normans who emigrated to carve out new lordships for themselves; the experiment was a total failure and John returned home within six months.

After Richard gained the throne in 1189, he gave John vast estates in an unsuccessful attempt to appease his younger brother. John failed to overthrow Richard's administrators during the German captivity and conspired with Philip II in another failed coup attempt. Upon Richard's release from captivity in 1194, John was forced to sue for pardon and he spent the next five years in his brother's shadow.

John's reign was troubled in many respects. A quarrel with the Church resulted in England being placed under an interdict in 1207, with John actually excommunicated two years later. The dispute centered on John's stubborn refusal to install the papal candidate, Stephen Langdon, as Archbishop of Canterbury; the issue was not resolved untilJohn surrendered to the wishes of Pope Innocent III and paid tribute for England as the Pope's vassal.

John proved extremely unpopular with his subjects. In addition to thelrish debacle, he inflamed his French vassals by orchestrating the murder of his popular nephew, Arthur of Brittany. By spring 1205, he lost the last of his French possessions and returned to England. The final ten years of his reign were occupied with failed attempts to regain these territories. After levying a number of new taxes upon the barons to pay for his dismal campaigns, the discontented barons revolted, capturing London in May 1215. At Runnymede in the following June, John succumbed to pressure from the barons, the Church, and the English people at-large, and signed the Magna Carta. The document, a declaration of feudal rights, stressed three points. First, the Church was free to make ecclesiastic appointments. Second, larger-than-normal amounts of money could only be collected with the consent of the king's feudal tenants. Third, no freeman was to be punished except within the context of common law. Magna Carta, although a testament to John's complete failure as monarch, was the forerunner of modern constitutions. John only signed the document as a means of buying time and his hesitance to implement its principles compelled the nobility to seek French assistance. The barons offered the throne to PhilipII's son, Louis. John died in the midst of invasion from the French in the South and rebellion from his barons in the North.

Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/mon28.html

As reader will see later in this paper, John's son and grandson both issued various versions of the Magna Carta several times during therein of each to reaffirm its initiatives. We know of a total of 17 of them that survive today, 15 of which remain in English hands, one in Australia and one was owned by the Perot Foundation of Dallas, Texas, but has been sold. Mr. Ross Perot, the originator of the Perot Foundation, gave me a personal copy of this Magna Carta, and it is framed for my enjoyment and display. It has now been passed only to my eldest son, Taylor Marcus Sharpe.

Raymond Berenger

403 972

026.

Generation 30

- Henry II (son of Geoffrey and Edith Matilda) was born on 05 Mar 1133 in La Mans, France.
- 944 He died on 06 Jul 1189 in Chinon Castol, Anjou. He married Eleanor of Aquitaine
- 048. (daughter of William) on 18 May 1152 in Bordeaux Cathedral, Gascony.
- 807 **Eleanor of Aquitaine** (daughter of William) was born in 1123. She died in 1204.

944

049. Notes for Henry II:

King Henry II, though born in France, became King of England. He came from the Plantagenet, which was the family name of a line of kings that ruled England from 1154 to 1399. These kings descended from the marriage of Matilda, daughter of King Henry I, to

Geoffrey, count of Anjou, France. Geoffrey was nicknamed "Plantagenet," because he wore a sprig of the broom (genet) plant in his cap.

Numerous historians also call these kings "Angevins," meaning from Anjou. The Plantagenet dynasty began with Henry II, son of Matilda and Geoffrey. Henry is my 9th cousin, 24 times removed, as well as the 14th great grandfather of Englishman Edward Southworth, the first husband of Alice Carpenter, my seven times great grandmother. My descending from her is through her second husband, Plymouth Colony Governor William Bradford, a Mayflower passenger which came to America in 1620.

Henry II is a pivital point in tying together two ancient ancestries related to our families. He is the 10th great grandson of Halfdan Vanha Sveidasson, Earle of the Uplands of Norway (an eighth century Viking) and Henry is the 35th great grandson of Godwulf. Godwulf is the 65th great grandfather of my Westmoreland grandchildren, though he is not directly related to me, but through their father, Steve O. Westmoreland. Godwulf is the earliest ancestor to whom any of our modern-day relatives can claim relationship.

Godwulf's descendants travel down through the family line of my son-in-law, Steven O. Westmoreland. Godwulf's birth occurred just months following the event of Mount Vesuvius erupting on August 24, 79 AD, burying the Roman cities of Pompeii and Herculaneum in volcanic ash. An estimated 20,000 people died.

Source:http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mount_Vesuvius

Henry II was the first King of England to come from the Plantagenet family. He reigned from 1154 until his death in 1189. He became known as the founder of the English system of common law, including introducing the use of juries and other legal procedures we consider common today.

Henry II, first of the Angevin kings, was one of the most effective of all England's monarchs. He came to the throne amid the anarchy of Stephen's reign and promptly collared his errant barons. He refined Norman government and created a capable, self-standing bureaucracy. His energy was equaled only by his ambition and intelligence. Henry survived wars, rebellion, and controversy to successfully rule one of the Middle Ages' most powerful kingdoms.

Now for a little discourse on law:

"Common law is a body of rulings made by judges on the basis of community customs and previous court decisions. It forms an essential part of the legal system of many English-speaking countries, including the United States and Canada. Common law covers such matters as contracts, ownership of property, and the payment of claims for personal injury.

"Early in England's history, judges decided cases according to the way they interpreted the beliefs and unwritten laws of the community. If another judge had ruled in an earlier, similar case, that judge's decision was often used as a precedent (guide). After many judges decided the same question in a similar way, the ruling became law.

"Common law is often contrasted with civil law, a body of rules passed by a legislature. Under civil law, a judge decides a case by following written rules, rather than previous court decisions. Common law also differs from equity, a set of standards developed to allow greater flexibility in court decisions. During the late Middle Ages, England created courts of equity to decide cases that courts of common law might treat too strictly. These courts decided cases by broad principles of justice and fairness, rather than by the rigid standards of common law. The monarch's chancellor presided over a court of equity called the court of chancery.

"The legal system of the United States has developed from English common law and equity. Only one U.S. state, Louisiana, modeled its legal system on civil law. Louisiana used the

civil law of France, called the Code Napoleon. During the late 1800's, many state scombined their courts of common law and courts of equity. One group of judges administers the combined courts. In Canada, similarly, only the province of Quebec based its legal system on French law. "

Contributor: David M. O'Brien, Ph.D., Professor. of Government, University. of Virginia, World Book Encyclopedia, 1998.

The marriage of Henry II to Eleanor of Aquitaine made him Duke of Aquitaine at the time. He became King when King Stephen died. He not only ruled over most of France, but claimed Ireland, Scotland, and Wales. It was later that his two sons rebelled against him, and each in his own time became King of England.

Henry II came into conflict with Thomas Becket, archbishop of Canterbury, over Henry's attempts to curb the independence of the church. In 1170, four of Henry's knights, believing they were acting on the King's orders, murdered Becket in his cathedral.

From Sir Winston Churchill Kt, 1675: "Henry II Plantagenet, the very first of that name and race, and the very greatest King that England ever knew, but withal the most unfortunate . . . his death being imputed to those only to whom himself had given life, his ungracioussons. . ."

Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/mon26.html

Though close in time, Henry I, son of William the Conqueror, is not the father of Henry II. Rather Henry I is the grandfather of Henry II.

"The history of Windsor Castle begins in the year 1070, when William the Conqueror built the original wooden structure located in what is now the inner most point of the castle. William chose the site for its superior military advantages; namely, that attackers would have to battle uphill in order to reach and overtake the castle. Although none of original wooden structure built by William remains in the WindsorCastle of today, the modern Windsor Castle still occupies the same ground. However, King Henry II was the first monarch to transform the wooden fortress to a stronghold of stone, adding a stonewall which stood tall around Windsor Castle England. Parts of this wall can still be seen today."

Source:http://www.destination360.com/europe/uk/windsor-castle.php

Notes for Eleanor of Aguitaine:

Eleanor of Aquitaine, pronounced AK wih tayn (1122-1204), was the wifeof King Louis VII of France and later of King Henry II of England. She was also the mother of two English kings, Richard the Lion-Heartedand John. Her control of Aquitaine, then a vast independent statenext to France, made her a central figure in the struggle for powerbetween France and England.

Eleanor was the daughter of William X, Duke of Aquitaine. In 1137, when Eleanor was 15 years old, she inherited Aquitaine. Her land cameunder French control when she married Louis VII later that year. Eleanor and Louis had two daughters. But the lack of a male heircontributed to unhappiness in their marriage, and they agreed to adivorce in 1152.

Within months, Eleanor married Henry Plantagenet, who became KingHenry II of England in 1154. Later, Eleanor and Henry lost affectionfor each other, and she supported a revolt against him in 1173. Therevolt failed and Henry imprisoned Eleanor. Eleanor was freed in1189, after Henry died and Richard became king. Eleanor greatlyinfluenced both Richard and John during their reigns.

Contributor: Marion Meade, M.S., Author, Eleanor of Aquitaine. 1986 CDVersion of the World Book Encyclopedia

807 Aymer Taillefer

944

050.

Generation 31

- 161 **Geoffrey** was born on 24 Aug 1113 in The Royal Palace in Sutton Courtenay (Berkshire).
- He died on 07 Sep 1151. He married **Edith Matilda** (daughter of Henry and Matilda of
- 809 Scotland) on 03 Apr 1127.

6.

161 **Edith Matilda** (daughter of Henry and Matilda of Scotland) was born in 1101. She died on 07 Sep 1167.

809

7. Notes for Geoffrey:

Geoffrey V was born August 24, 1111. He would later marry EdithMatilda, Empress Matilda, the daughter and heiress of King Henry I ofEngland. Matilda is my ninth cousin, 24 times removed.

On August 24, 79 AD, Mount Vesuvius erupted, burying the Roman cities of Pompeii and Herculaneum in volcanic ash. An estimated 20,000 peopledied. This was 1,034 years to the day of Geoffrey's birthday.

Source:http://www.harcourtschool.com/activity/pompeii/

"Geoffrey V,, called the Handsome (French: le Bel) and Plantagenet,was the Count of Anjou, Touraine, and Maine by inheritance from 1129and then Duke of Normandy by conquest from 1144. By his marriage to the Empress Matilda, daughter and heiress of Henry I of England, Geoffrey had a son, Henry Curtmantle, who succeeded to the Englishthrone and founded the Plantagenet dynasty to which Geoffrey gave hisnickname.

"Geoffrey was the elder son of Fulk V of Anjou and Eremburga of LaFlËche, heiress of Elias I of Maine. Geoffrey received his nicknamefor the yellow sprig of broom blossom (genÍt is the French name forthe genista, or broom shrub) he wore in his hat as a badge. King Henryl of England, having heard good reports on Geoffrey's talents andprowess, sent his royal legates to Anjou to negotiate a marriagebetween Geoffrey and his own daughter, Matilda. Consent was obtainedfrom both parties, and on June 10, 1128 the fifteen-year-old Geoffreywas knighted in Rouen by King Henry in preparation for the wedding.Interestingly, there was no opposition to the marriage from theChurch, despite the fact that Geoffrey's sister was the widow ofMatilda's brother (only son of King Henry) which fact had been used toannul the marriage of another of Geoffrey's sisters to the Normanpretender William Clito."

Source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geoffrey of Anjou

Notes for Edith Matilda:

Edith Matilda is my ninth cousin, 24 times removed.

Matilda is the Latin form of Maud, and the name of the only survivinglegitimate child of King Henry I. She was born in 1101, generally it is said at Winchester, but recent research indicates that she wasactually born at the Royal Palace in Sutton Courtenay (Berkshire).

In something of a political coup for her father, Matilda was betrothedto the German Emperor, Henry V, when she was only eight. They were married on 7th January 1114. She was twelve and he was thirty-two. Unfortunately there were no children and on the Emperor's death in1125, Matilda was recalled to her father's court.

Matilda's only legitimate brother had been killed in the disastrousWreck of the White Ship in late 1120 and she was now her father's onlyhope for the continuation of his dynasty. The barons swore allegianceto the young Princess and promised to make her queen after herfather's death. She herself needed heirs though and in April 1127, Matilda found herself obliged to marry Prince Geoffrey of Anjou andMaine (the future Geoffrey V, Count of those Regions). He wasthirteen, she twenty-three. It is thought that the two never got on. However, despite this unhappy situation they had had three sons infour years.

Being absent in Anjou at the time of her father's death on 1stDecember 1135, possibly due to pregnancy, Matilda was not in much of aposition to take up the throne which had been promised her and shequickly lost out to her fast-moving cousin, Stephen. With her husband, she attempted to take Normandy. With encouragement from supporters in England though, it was not long before Matilda invaded her rightful English domain and so began a long-standing Civil War from the powerbase of her half-brother, Robert of Gloucester, in the West Country.

After three years of armed struggle, she at last gained the upper handat the Battle of Lincoln, in February 1141, where King Stephen wascaptured. However, despite being declared Queen or "Lady of the English" at Winchester and winning over Stephen's brother, Henry of Blois, the powerful Bishop of Winchester, Matilda alienated thecitizens of London with her arrogant manner. She failed to secure hercoronation and the Londoners joined a renewed push from Stephen's Queen and laid siege to the Empress in Winchester. She managed toescape to the West, but while commanding her rearguard, her brotherwas captured by the enemy.

Matilda was obliged to swap Stephen for Robert on 1st November 1141. Thus the King soon reimposed his Royal authority. In 1148, after thedeath of her half-brother, Matilda finally returned to Normandy, leaving her son, who, in 1154, would become Henry II, to fight on in England. She died at Rouen on 10th September 1169 and was buried in Fontevrault Abbey, though some of her entrails may possibly have been later interred in her father's foundation at Reading Abbey.

Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/mon25a.html

161 William

588

809

8.

Generation 32

Henry (son of William and Matilda) was born in 1068. He died on 01 Dec 1135. He married **Matilda of Scotland** (daughter of Malcolm III Canmore and Margaret) on 11 Nov 1100.

Matilda of Scotland (daughter of Malcolm III Canmore and Margaret) was born in 1079. She died on 01 May 1118.

Notes for Henry:

Henry I (1068-1135), a king of England, is the youngest son of William the Conqueror. King Henry is my seventh cousin, 26 times removed. He succeeded his brother King William II in 1100. Henry married Matilda, daughter of Malcolm III of Scotland and his wife, Margaret, a member of the Saxon royal house of England. This was Henry's fourth marriage. Thus, Henry gained the support of his Saxon subjects and strengthened his descendants' claim to the throne.

"Henry promoted centralized rule and gave the royal courts greater authority. He seized

Normandy from his eldest brother, Robert, in1106 and later prevented Robert's son, William, from taking control of what had been his father's lands. After his own son's tragic death by shipwreck, Henry arranged for his daughter, Matilda, to succeed him. But when Henry died, his nephew Stephen became King.

"Henry I, the most resilient of the Norman kings (his reign lasted thirty-five years), was nicknamed "Beauclerc" (fine scholar) for his above average education. During his reign, the differences between English and Norman society began slowly to evaporate. Reforms in the royal treasury system became the foundation upon which later kings built. The stability Henry afforded the throne was offset by problems in succession: his only surviving son, William, was lost in the wreck of the White Ship in November 1120.

"The first years of Henry's reign were concerned with subduing Normandy. William the Conqueror divided his kingdoms between Henry's older brothers, leaving England to William Rufus and Normandy to Robert. Henry inherited no land, but received £5000 in silver. He played each brother off of the other during their quarrels; both distrusted Henry and subsequently signed a mutual accession treaty barring Henry from the crown. Henry's hope arose when Robert departed for the Holy Land on the First Crusade; should William die, Henry was the obvious heir. Henry was in the woods hunting on the morning of August 2, 1100 when William Rufus was killed by an arrow. His quick movement in securing the crown on August 5 led many to believe he was responsible for his brother's death. In his coronation charter, Henry denounced William's oppressive policies and promising good government in an effort to appease his barons. Robert returned to Normandy a few weeks later, but escaped final defeat until the Battle of Tinchebrai in1106; Robert was captured and lived the remaining twenty-eight years of his life as Henry's prisoner.

"Henry was drawn into controversy with a rapidly expanding Church. Lay investiture, the king's selling of clergy appointments, was heavily opposed by Gregorian reformers in the Church, but was a cornerstone of Norman government. Henry recalled Anselm of Bec to the archbishopric of Canterbury to gain Baronial support, but the stubborn Anselm refused to do homage to Henry for his lands. The situation remained unresolved until Pope Paschal II threatened Henry with excommunication in 1105. He reached a compromise with the papacy: Henry rescinded the king's divine authority in conferring sacred offices, but appointees continued to do homage for their fiefs. In practice, it changed little. The king maintained the deciding voice in appointing ecclesiastical offices, but it a marked a point where kingship became purely secular and subservient in the eyes of the Church.

"By 1106, both the quarrels with the church and the conquest of Normandy were settled and Henry concentrated on expanding royal power. He mixed generosity with violence in motivating allegiance to the crown and appointing loyal and gifted men to administrative positions. By raising men out of obscurity for such appointments, Henry began to rely less on landed Barons as ministers and created a loyal bureaucracy.

"He was deeply involved in continental affairs, and therefore spent almost half of his time in Normandy, prompting him to create the position of justiciar - the most trusted of all the king's officials. The justiciar literally ruled in the king's stead.

"Roger of Salisbury, the first justiciar, was instrumental in organizing an efficient department for collection of royal revenues, the Exchequer. The Exchequer held sessions twice a year for sheriffs and other revenue-collecting officials; these officials appeared before the justiciar, the chancellor, and several clerks to render an account of their finances. The Exchequer was an ingenious device for balancing amounts owed versus amounts paid. Henry gained notoriety for sending out court officials to judge local financial disputes (weakening the feudal courts controlled by local lords) and curbing errant sheriffs (weakening the power bestowed upon the sheriffs by his father).

"The final years of Henry's reign were consumed in war with France and difficulties ensuring the succession. The French King Louis VI began consolidating his kingdom and attacked Normandy unsuccessfully on three separate occasions. The succession became a concern

upon the 1120 death of his son, William: Henry's marriage to Adelaide was fruitless, leaving his daughter Matilda as the only surviving legitimate heir. She was recalled to Henry's court in 1125 after the death of her husband, Emperor Henry V of Germany. Henry forced his Barons to swear an oath of allegiance to Matilda in 1127, after he arranged her marriage to the sixteen-year-old Geoffrey of Anjou to cement an Angevin alliance on the continent. The marriage, unpopular with the Norman Barons, produced a male heir in 1133, which prompted yet another reluctant oath of loyalty from the aggravated Barons.

"In the summer of 1135, Geoffrey demanded custody of certain key Norman castles as a show of good will from Henry; Henry refused and the pair entered into war. Henry's life ended in this sorry state of affairs -war with his son-in-law and rebellion on the horizon - in December 1135."

Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/mon24.html

Notes for Matilda of Scotland:

"Matilda of Scotland was the daughter of Malcolm II of Scotland andhis Anglo-Saxon queen Margaret. Her marriage to Henry I of England in1100 thus brought to Henry, descendant of the conquering Normans, adirect and politically desirable link to Matilda's ancestor Alfred theGreat. Her life makes clear that Matilda had outstanding talents. Shewas educated in the exclusive convents of Romsey and Wilton, agrounding which enabled her to further the literate court culture ofthe twelfth century, and under her control was a substantial demesnethat allowed her to exercise both lay and ecclesiastical patronage. Inthe matter of ruling, she was an active partner in administeringHenry's cross-channel realm, served as a member of his curia regis, and on occasion acted with what amounted to vice-regal authority inEngland while Henry was in Normandy. Chroniclers of the twelfth andthirteenth centuries often refer to her as Mathilda bona regina, orMatildis beatae memoriae, and for a time she was popularly regarded asa saint. She herself was skilled at manipulating those structures

Source:http://www.boydell.co.uk/5115994X.HTM

Generation 33

William (son of Robert and Herleva) was born in 1027 in Falaise, France. He died on 09 Sep 1087 in Rouen, England. He married **Matilda** between 1051-1053 in France.

Matilda was born in 1032. She died on 03 Nov 1083.

Notes for William:

William the Conqueror is my seventh cousin, 26 times removed. The ancestor in common with William and me is the ninth century Norwegian Viking, Eystein Glumra Ivarsson. Glumra Ivarsson is William's sixth great grandfather and Glumra Ivarsson is my 32nd great grandfather on my Mother's Abney side of the family. William is the 17th great grandfather of affluent Englishman Edward Southworth, first husband of Alice Carpenter, on my Father's side of the family. Alice, through her second marriage, is my seventh great grandmother. William is the 31st great grandfather to my Westmoreland grandchildren: Katie, Jack, Lily, Sarah and Sam.

Early in his adult life, he was known as William II, Duke of Normandy. It was later that he became better known as William I, or William the Conqueror, King of England. He subdued rebellious vassals, defeated King Henry I of France at

Val des Dunes (Henry is William's first cousin, twice removed). William defeated Harold, Saxon King of England at the Battle of Hastings in 1066. He was crowned King of England on December 22, 1066, according to some reports. Others place the coronation on Christmas day. The coronation was in Westminster Abbey. So, it can be said that this part of our family did not immigrate to England. They conquered it!

"William I, a Frenchman, was the first of many, many English Kings to be crowned in Westminster Abbey. Westminster Abbey marked the scene of many great events in English history. All the English rulers from the time of William the Conqueror, except Edward V and Edward VIII, were crowned there. Technically, William was not the actual first coronation in Westminster. Harold, the counselor to King Edward theConfessor, sought to usurp the crown upon Edward's death, in spite of William's coming to claim it. Harold had a rush job done to crown himself in Westminster Abbey. However, Harold's coronation, in a sense, does not count, as he was not of Royal blood. William was of Royal blood. In fact, William was a first cousin, once removed to King Edward the Confessor.

"What was the background about why William got involved in England?

"King Edward the Confessor (1002?-1066), an Anglo-Saxon king descended from Alfred the Great, was crowned in 1042. As king, Edward lacked influence among England's Anglo-Saxon nobles, because he had lived in the Normandy region of northwestern France before becoming king. Edward's Anglo-Saxon father-in-law, Godwin, Earl of Wessex, tried to dominate Edward's reign. Edward resisted Godwin's efforts by relying on Norman advisers and administrators. Godwin died in 1053.

"King Edward was a pious man. He founded Westminster Abbey in 1042, which was completed in 1065. In 1161, Pope Alexander III canonized Edward (declared him a saint) and gave him the title of Confessor.

"King Richard I is the ancestor common to William I and King Edward. Richard is the great grandfather of William, and the grandfather of Edward

"Edward was childless, and a dispute arose over who should succeed him. His first cousin, once removed, William, Duke of Normandy, claimed Edward had promised him the throne. But when Edward died in1066, the English nobles chose Harold, Godwin's son, as king. William then invaded England, defeated Harold, and was crowned king. So,William rallied the troops and stormed across the English Channel to make claim on Edward's alleged promise to him.

William invaded England on September 28, 1066 and prepared for victory.

"The Battle of Hastings Plans:

"Harold learned that William had landed at Pevensey in the south of England when he was in the north of the country recovering Stamford Bridge and York. He marched his troops south as fast as possible, stopping in London for reinforcements. He took up position at Caldbec Hill, along the Sentlache Ridge, a few miles north of Hastings. As the ridge had deep ravines, streams and marshy ground on either side, Harold blocked William's only road out of the Hastings peninsula so forcing him into a frontal attack. By positioning his army at the top of the hill, he had clear visibility all around him and forced William's army into continually running up the hill to attack. Harold built a shield wall that stretched in rows along the ridge and which was made up of his housecarls, thegns (nobles) and fyrdmen. Being skilled fighters, the housecarls and thegns were positioned in between the fyrdmen who were unskilled, poorly armed and inexperienced peasant soldiers. Harold expected the wall to hold firm against assault and for William's men to tire and weaken from having to attack uphill. This would eventually allow Harold's army to launch a counter-attack with relatively fresh troops strong enough to defeat the opponent.

"William was unprepared for Harold's speedy arrival at Caldbec Hill, but quickly gathered his troops and went to meet him at Senlache. His army was divided into three sections, each with a commander. The left section comprised mainly of Bretons, the central section were Norman under William's command, and the right section was made up of the French and Flemish. Each section was divided into three rows - the archers, the infantry and the cavalry. William's plan was to use the archers first to send their arrows into the English

ranks, followed by the infantry in hand-to-hand combat and to finally advance with the cavalry who had the height and power of being on horseback. The effect would be a three pronged attack and a gradual build up in power that would demoralize the English.

"THE BATTLE:

"The battle took all day beginning early in the morning of 14th October 1066 with William's archers firing the first arrows into English ranks. William followed up his plan with an attack by the infantry and then by the cavalry, but Harold's army was stronger than expected and William's army sustained many casualties. The Bretons on the left flank panicked due to their lack of experience, the unexpected strength of Harold's army and the noise and confusion. They failed to keep in line and got ahead of the other two sections on their right. In their panic they began to retreat. Harold's less experienced fighters broke rank when they saw the Bretons retreating, and William's army slaughtered them.

"William retreated and regrouped. The second and following assaults went according to William's plan and he supported his troops by joining in the charge on horseback. Both sides became more tired as the day wore on and suffered heavy casualties. As the supply of arrows was running low, William ordered the archers to fire them high into the air for the final assault so that they fell into the rear ranks of the English army. This caused high casualties and the collapse of the English shield wall. The Norman's penetrated the ranks and killed Harold. With the morale of the English troops shattered by the death of their leader, the battle ended in defeat for the English, although the housecarls (the Pingalio) and thegns continued to fight to their deaths. However, more recently, historian Nicholas Hooper criticised Larson and stated that "it is time to debunk the housecarl"; according to Hooper, housecarls were not in effect distinguishable from Saxon thegas, and were mainly retainers who received lands or pay (or both), but without being really a standing army. Hooper asserts that while the Housecarles might well have had superior esprit de corps and more uniform training and equipment than the average Thegn, they would not necessarily have been a clearly defined military elite. Over the following months, William captured Canterbury, Winchester and London. He was crowned king on Christmas Day 1066.

"WHY DID HAROLD LOSE?

"Harold was badly prepared to face William's troops. William had spent months preparing for invasion in a secure position and environment in Normandy. Harold's tenure as king was weak from the time of his accession and, although aware of the threat from Normandy, he was occupied by other events at home.

"William built up his army and support in feudal tradition promising lands in England to those who joined his army and eternal paradise to anyone who died during the battle. He'd also obtained the approval of the Pope in his plans so gaining greater support and turning the invasion into a crusade. The knights were recruited with their own horses, men and equipment. Over the months, William's army was rigorously disciplined and trained before being ready to sail for England, but they had to wait until September before having a favorable wind. William's plans suffered a set back when the fleet got caught in a storm and he had to take refuge and regroup in the Sommeestuary. However, as a result, the distance he had to cover in his crossing was considerably shorter and the next opportunity he had to sail was at the time when Harold was in the north of England.

"William's decision to land at Pevensey was important. Pevensey was on a lagoon to the west of Hastings and was a scarcely populated area. The lagoon was a shelter from the weather as the ships could be beached high up on the land at high tide. The Hastings peninsula was bordered by Pevensey Lagoon to the west and the River Brede to the east so providing it with natural protection from attack and only one way in and out of the peninsula to the main land in the north.

"William quickly established his presence on the peninsula including building up the Roman Fort at Pevensey and taking Hastings.

"Harold was not so lucky in his plans. Some weeks before the invasion, he had mobilized troops along the coast and sent his navy to the Isle of Wight to intercept William's fleet, but he was unable to keep them there, as they became demoralized waiting for William's army to set sail and concerned about gathering in the harvest in their home towns. Harold disbanded them at the beginning of September and lost many of his ships in the same storm from which William had been forced to take refuge. When he received news that William had landed at Pevensey, Harold was fighting the invasion of Harald Hardrada of Norway in the north.

"Despite his battle plan and his choice of a strategic location, Harold's army was exhausted from having to travel north and fight at Stamford Bridge, and then hastily return south without time to rest. Harold's support from the north was limited, and, although the Earls of Mercia and Northumberland had begun riding south, they turned back when they heard of Harold's death. Except for the housecarls and thegns, Harold's men were not trained and did not have the distant attack advantage of the archers or the power of the cavalry. In accordance with English tradition, those of Harold's army who were on horseback rode to the battle location and then fought on foot while William's cavalry walked to the location and then mounted for battle.

"THE RESULTS

"William was crowned king of England on Christmas Day 1066 (some say December 22 instead). There followed 88 years of Norman rule. The French and English cultures merged and the feudal system was introduced. This led to a tough discipline and training and it took away much of the Anglo-Saxon's freedom and rights. England's strength grew and she became a powerful force in European politics because of her tie with Normandy. Her army and navy were built up as well.

In 1085 William ordered a survey of English assets and this became known as the "Doomsday Book." William's reign was not easy, and there were rebellions which were quickly suppressed, but the Norman Conquest changed the face of England forever."

Source: World Book Encyclopedia, CD version, 1998

AND HERE ARE THE LATEST WORDS!

There is another claim of world significance made for King William I, as learned from the lectures of University of North Carolina English literature professor, Dr. Elliott Engel. Dr. Engel highlighted the historical fact that conquerors of the world traditionally required the conquered peoples to use for language in commerce and in government the language of the conqueror. William the Conqueror chose not to do that. He allowed the conquered English people to continue their language in commerce and in government transactions, while theFrench of the conquerors became commingled, often using French and English words in the same sentences so that people from both backgrounds would understand the meanings. These French words, in reality, became embedded into the English language, thus being a major reason that English has evolved into being the language of the world having the largest number of words.

So, it can be said that William the Conqueror is responsible to a large degree for the English language having the largest vocabulary in the world. In Dr. Elliott's 1994 lecture at the Richardson, Texas Civic Center, he said that the Oxford Dictionary then contained about 450,000 words. He said that a complete French dictionary would have about 150,000 words and that a complete Russian dictionary would have about 130,000 words.

"The history of Windsor Castle begins in the year 1070, when William the Conqueror built the original wooden structure located in what is now the inner most point of the castle. William chose the site for its superior military advantages; namely, that attackers would have to battle uphill in order to reach and overtake the castle. Although none of original wooden

structure built by William remains in the Windsor Castle of today, the modern Windsor Castle still occupies the same ground. Henry II was the first monarch to transform the wooden fortress to a stronghold of stone, adding a stonewall which stood tall around Windsor Castle England. Parts of this wall can still be seen today."

Source:http://www.destination360.com/europe/uk/windsor-castle.php

William died September 9, 1087 from wounds received in a battle at Mantes, England. After being wounded he died at Rouen, England.

The New Law of the Land as set down by William the Conqueror and his advisors:

"First that above all things he wishes one God to be revered throughout his whole realm, one faith in Christ to be kept ever inviolate, and peace and security to be preserved between English and Normans.

"We decree also that every freeman shall affirm by oath and compact that he will be loyal to king William both within and without England, that he will preserve with him his lands and honor with all fidelity and defend him against his enemies.

"I will, moreover, that all the men I have brought with me, or who have come after me, shall be protected by my peace and shall dwell in quiet. And if any one of them shall be slain, let the lord of his murderer seize him within five days, if he can; but if he cannot, let him pay me 46 marks of silver so long as his substance avails. And when his substance is exhausted, let the whole hundred in which the murder took place pay what remains in common.

"And let every Frenchman who, in the time of king Edward, my kinsman, was a sharer in the customs of the English, pay what they call "Scotand lot", according to the laws of the English. This decree was ordained in the city of Gloucester.

"We forbid also that any live cattle shall be bought or sold for money except within cities, and this shall be done before three faithful witnesses; nor even anything old without surety and warrant. But if anyone shall do otherwise, let him pay once, and afterwards a second time for a fine.

"It was decreed there that if a Frenchman shall charge an Englishman with perjury or murder or theft or homicide or "ran," as the English call open rapine, which cannot be denied, the Englishman may defend himself, as he shall prefer, either by the ordeal of hot iron or by wager of battle. But if the Englishman be infirm, let him find another who will take his place. If one of them shall be vanquished, he shall pay a fine of 40 shillings to the king. If an Englishman shall charge a Frenchman and be unwilling to prove his accusation, either by ordeal or by wager of battle, I will, nevertheless, that the Frenchman shall acquit himself by a valid oath.

"This also I command and will, that all shall have and hold the law of the king Edward in respect of their lands and all their possessions, with the addition of those decrees I have ordained for the welfare of the English people.

"Every man who wishes to be considered a freeman shall be in pledge so that his surety shall hold him and hand him over to justice, if he shall offend in any way. And if any such shall escape, let his sureties see to it that they pay forthwith what is charge against him, and let them clear themselves of any complicity in his escape. Let recourse be had to the hundred and shire courts as our predecessors decreed. And those who ought of right to come and are unwilling to appear, shall be summoned once; and, if for the second time they refuse to come, one ox shall be taken from them, and they shall be summoned a third time. And if they do not come the third time, a second ox shall be taken from them. But if they do not come the fourth summons, the man who is unwilling to come shall forfeit from his goods the amount of the charge against him, "ceapgeld" as it is called, and in addition

to this a fine to the king.

"I prohibit the sale of any man by another outside the country on pain of a fine to be paid in full to me.

"I also forbid that anyone shall be slain or hanged for any fault, but let his eyes be put out and let him be castrated. And this command shall not be violated under pain of a fine in full to me."

Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/laws.html

In 1066, Halley's Comet was seen in England May 16 and thought to be abad omen. Later that year Harold II of England died at the Battle of Hastings on October 14. Illustration of Halley's Comet is shown on the Bayeux Tapestry, and the accounts which have been preserved represent it as having then appeared to be four times the size of Venus, and to have shone with a light equal to a quarter of that of the Moon.

Source:http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comet_Halley

It is a positive note for me to discover that the number one priority in William's list of law principles cited above centers upon the worship of God through Christ. The fierceness of William's conquering activities had led me to believe he was pagan, which was the Norman's Norwegians' roots. However, the Roman Catholic Church, the main Church of Europe in those years, apparently had its influence on William in his French Norman rearing.

"Windsor Castle was originally built by William the Conqueror, who reigned from 1066 until his death in 1087. His original wooden castle stood on the site of the present Round Tower ("A"). The castle formed part of his defensive ring of castles surrounding London, the site chosen in part because of its easily defendable position.

"Early in William's reign he had taken possession of a manor in what today is Old Windsor, probably a Saxon royal residence. A short time later between 1070 and 1086, he leased the site of the present castle from the Manor of Clewer and built the first motte-and-bailey castle. The motte is 50-feet high and consists of chalk excavated from a surrounding ditch, which then became a moat.

"At this time the castle was defended by a wooden palisade rather than the thick stone walls seen today. The original plan of William the Conqueror's castle is unknown, but it was purely a military base and nothing structural survives from this early period. From that time onwards the castle has remained in continuous use and has undergone numerous additions and improvements. His successor William II is thought to have improved and enlarged the structure, but the Conqueror's youngest son King Henry I was the first sovereign to live within the castle.

"Windsor Castle (51∞29'02?N, 0∞36'16?W) is the largest inhabited castle in the world and the oldest in continuous occupation. Together with Buckingham Palace in London and Holyrood Palace in Edinburgh it is one of the principal official residences of the British monarch. The castle is located in the Berkshire town of Windsor, in the Thames Valley to the west of London.

Source:http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Windsor_Castle

Yet, both in Normandy and in England, William was faithful to tradition, and in England, especially, it became a cardinal feature of his administration to respect, and to utilize, the customs of the kingdom he had conquered. This is especially documented in David C. Douglas's book, "William the Conqueror," Chapter 12 (pp. 289-316).

Source: David C. Douglas, "William the Conqueror," University of California Press, C 1964

ISBM 0-520-00350-0 (this book is in my personal library)

Another famous landmark of London, nestled on the River Thames, is the Tower of London.

"According to Shakespeare, in his play Richard III, the Tower of London was first built by Julius Caesar. This supposed Roman origin is, however, just a myth. Its true foundation was in 1078 when William the Conqueror ordered the White Tower to be built. This was as much to protect the Normans from the people of the City of London as to protect London from outside invaders. William ordered the Tower to be built of stone which he had specially imported from France. He chose this location because he considered it to be a strategic point being opposite the site where Earl Godwin had landed in Southwark in 1051during his Saxon rebellion against the Norman influence of Edward the Confessor. It was King Richard the Lion Heart who had the moat dug around the surrounding wall and filled with water from the Thames. The moat was not very successful until Henry III employed a Dutch moat building technique. The moat was drained in 1830, and human bones were in the refuse found at its bottom."

Source:http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tower of London

Notes for Matilda:

Known as Mathilda of Flanders

Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/mon24.html

Malcolm III Canmore was born in 1031. He died on 13 Nov 1093. He married **Margaret** (daughter of Edward and Agatha) in 1069 in Dunfermline.

Margaret (daughter of Edward and Agatha) was born in 1045. She died on 16 Nov 1093.

Notes for Malcolm III Canmore:

King of Scotland, or known as King of Scots. He was slain whilebesieging Alnwick Castle.

Notes for Margaret:

Margaret was a member of the Saxon royal house of England. Thus herhusband, Henry I, gained support of his Saxon subjects and strengthened his descendants' claim to the thrown.

Generation 34

422 **Robert** He died in Jul 1035. He married **Herleva**. 028

88.

422 **Herleva** (daughter of Fulbert) was born in Falasia, France.

028

89. Notes for Robert:

Robert was know as "the Magnificent" and "the Devil." He succeededhis brother, Richard III, as Duke of Normandy. He died whilereturning on a pilgrimage to the Holy Land.

Notes for Herleva:

She was of Danish origin.

422 Edward (son of Edmund and Ealgyth) was born in 1016. He died in 1057. He married 028 Agatha. 94. 422 **Agatha** 028 95. **Generation 35** 844 Richard He died on 28 Aug 1026. He married Judith (daughter of Conan and Ermangarde) 057 about 1000 AD. 76. 844 Judith (daughter of Conan and Ermangarde) was born about 982 AD. She died in 1017. 057 77. Notes for Richard: Duke of Normandy. Richard had many children by his first wife, Judith, and his thirdwife, Poppa. However, the only issue for whom we have a name isJudith's son, Robert I. Notes for Judith: Judith of Brittany. 844 **Fulbert** 057 78. 844 Edmund (son of Aethelred and Alfflaed Gunnarson) was born in 989 AD. He died on 30 057 Nov 1016. He married **Ealgyth**. 88. 844 **Ealgyth** 057 89. Notes for Edmund: Edmund was King of England for only a few months. After the death of his father, Aethelred II. in April 1016. Edmund led the defense of the city of London against the invading Knut Sveinsson (Canute), and was proclaimed king by the Londoners. Meanwhile, the Witan (Council), meeting at Southampton, chose Canute as King. After a series of inconclusive military engagements, in which Edmund performed brilliantly and earned the nickname "Ironside," he defeated the Danish forces at Oxford, Kent, but was routed by Canute's forces at Ashingdon, Essex. A subsequent peace agreement was made, with Edmund controlling Wessex and Canute controlling Mercia and Northumbria. It was also agreed that whoever survived the other would take control of the whole realm. Unfortunately for Edmund, he died in November, 1016, transferring the Kingship of All England completely to Canute. Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/mon15.html Though contemporary accounts of Edmund's death do not record that his death was a

homicide, later speculation is that Edric, the son of the ealdorman Edrick, did slay the King privately, hoping King Canute would reward him. The King did reward Ecrick by ordering

him to be decapitated, and his head placed upon the highet battlement of the Tower of London.

Source: "The Oxford Book of Royal Anticdotes," The Oxford UniversityPress, 1991, page 34.

Generation 36

168 Agnes

811

553. Notes for Richard:

He was named his father's heir May 29, 942. He also was known asRichard, the Fearless. (Ancestoral Roots of Certain AmericanColonists, P. 110, Line 121E-20)

Richard I, called Richard the Good, is my third cousin, 30 timesremoved.

Richard I is the ancestor common to William the Conqueror and EdwardIII. William is to be the Norman King who conquerored England to takethe English crown after Edward III, his first cousin, once removed, had died. Richard is my third cousin, 30 times removed.

Notes for Agnes:

She was Richard's second wife. His first and third marriages were tothe same woman, Gunnor.

- Conan He died in 992 AD. He married Ermangarde (daughter of Geoffrey and Adelaide deVermandois) in 980 AD.
- 554.
- 168 Ermangarde

811

555.

Aethelred (son of Edgar and Elfrida) was born in 968 AD. He died on 23 Apr 1016 in London, England. He married Alfflaed Gunnarson (daughter of Thored) in 985 AD.

576.

168 Alfflaed Gunnarson (daughter of Thored) was born in 968 AD. She died in 1002.

811

577. Notes for Aethelred:

He succeeded to the throne after the murder of his half-brother, Edward II, the Martyr, at the age of ten. His reign was plagued by poor advice from his personal favorites and suspicions of his complicity in Edward's murder.

His was a rather long and ineffective reign, which was notable for little other than the payment of the Danegeld, an attempt to buy off the Viking invaders with money. The relentless invasions by the DanishVikings, coupled with their ever-escalating demands for more money, forced him to abandon his throne in 1013. He fled to Normandy for safety, but was later recalled to his old throne at the death of Svein Forkbeard in 1014. He died in London in 1016.

Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/mon14.html

G	en	er	ati	ion	37

	Generation 37
337 623 106.	Hugh Capet (son of Hugh Magnus and Hedwig) was born in 941 AD. He died on 24 Oct 996 AD in Les Juifs, Charres France. He married Adelaide of Poitou (daughter of William I of Poitou and Gerloc) in 968 AD.
337 623 107.	Adelaide of Poitou (daughter of William I of Poitou and Gerloc) was born in 945 AD.
	Notes for Hugh Capet:
	Hugh Capet was the first of the Capetian Kings of France.(Ancesterial Roots of Certain American Colonists, , Walter LeeShepard, Jr., 1992, p. 56, line 53-20)
337 623 110.	Geoffrey He married Adelaide de Vermandois.
337 623 111.	Adelaide de Vermandois
337 623 152.	Edgar (son of Edmund and St. Alfgifu) was born in 943 AD in Wessex, England. He died on 08 Jul 975 AD in Winchester, Wessex, England. He married Elfrida (daughter of Earl Ordgar) in 965 AD.
337 623 153.	Elfrida (daughter of Earl Ordgar) was born in 945 AD. She died in 1000 AD.
	Notes for Edgar:
	Edgar was made King of Mercia and Northumbria in 957 and succeed to the throne of Wessex at his brother, Eadwig's, death in 959. With this, Edgar was King of Mercia, Northumbria and Wessex (the three most powerful kingdoms in England at that time), simultaneously and could be considered the first ruler of a United England. Some of his predecessors were Kings of All England by virtue of being King of Wessex and, at the same time, enjoying a temporary military ascendancy over the other kingdoms.
	He was formally crowned in 973 and received the ceremonial submission all the other kings in Britain. He wisely recalled (St.) Dunstan from exile and made him Archbishop of Canterbury and his closest personal advisor. His reign was prosperous and peaceful and

Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/mon12.html

he is generally credited with the revival of the English church.

337 **Thored**

623

154.

Generation 38

- 675 Hugh Magnus (son of Robert) was born about 895 AD. He died on 16 Jun 956 AD in
- Deurdan, France. He married Hedwig (daughter of Henry and Mechtilde) in 938 AD in Maniz 246
- 212. oder Ingelheim.
- 675 Hedwig (daughter of Henry and Mechtilde) was born before 923 AD. She died after 965

246 213.	AD.				
	Notes for Hedwig:				
	She was his third wife. We do not have the names of the first twowives.				
675 246 214.	William I of Poitou was born about 925 AD. He died on 03 Apr 963 AD. He married Gerloc (daughter of Ganger Rolf and Poppa) in 935 AD.				
675 246 215.	Gerloc She died on 14 Oct 962 AD.				
675 246 304.	Edmund (son of Edward and Edgiva) was born in 920 AD. He died in 946 AD in Pucklechurch, in Gloucestershire. He married St. Alfgifu .				
675	St. Alfgifu				
246 305.	Notes for Edmund:				
	Son of Edward the Elder, succeeded his half-brother, Aethelstan, withwhom he had fought at Brunanburh. He combated the Norse Vikings inNorthumbria and subdued them in Cumbria and Strathclyde. He entrustedthese lands to an ally, Malcolm I of Scotland. Edmund met his deathwhen he was killed at Pucklechurch, in Gloucestershire, by a robber.				
675 246 306.	Earl Ordgar				
	Generation 39				
135 049 242 4.	Robert was born in 866 AD. He died on 15 Jun 923 AD in Soissons.				
135 049 242 6.	Henry (son of Otto and Hedwig) was born in 876 AD. He died on 02 Jul 936 AD in Memleben. He married Mechtilde .				
135	Mechtilde She died after 965 AD.				
049 242 7.	Notes for Henry:				
	He was also known as "The Flower" and was King of the Saxons.				
	Source:http://gedcom.surnames.com/linkswiler_jane/i0003077.htm#i3077				
	Notes for Mechtilde:				
	Mechtilde was Henry's second wife.				
135	Ganger Rolf He died in 927 AD. He married Poppa.				

243 0.

135 **Poppa**

049

243 Notes for Ganger Rolf:

1.

He was known as Rollo. Also, he was known as "Rollo the Viking."Rollo was outlawed by King Harald, his Uncle. He was banished toHerbrides abound 876. He participated in a Viking attack on Bayeux,where Count Berenger of Bayeux was killed, and the County's daughter,Poppa, was captured and later taken to become Rollo's wife. Rolloobtained the title of the Count of Rouen.

He conquered Normandy and was given title of Duke of Normandy by the Charles III, "the Simple," King of France under the Treaty of St. Claire in 911.

Edward (son of Alfred and Ealhswith) was born in 875 AD. He died in 924 AD. He married Edgiva (daughter of Sigehelm) in 918 AD.

260

8.

135 Edgiva (daughter of Sigehelm) was born in 896 AD. She died on 25 Aug 968 AD.

049

260 Notes for Edward:

9.

Son of Alfred the Great, Edward immediately succeeded his father to the throne. His main achievement was to use the military platformcreated by his father to bring back, under English control, the wholeof the Danelaw, south of the Humber River.

Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/mon7.html

Edward the Elder is the 37th great grandfather of my Westmorelandgrandchildren, in a line through their father's side of the family.

Edward's sister, Aethelflaed, after she was widowed, became a greatally for Edward, giving vigorous and respected leadership in managingthe Kingdom. In some respects, it almost seemed that she had moredominance than did Edward. Some said that Edward, in effect, was kingof all England, but that is subject to debate. However, it can besaid that those giving him allegiance were the people of Mercia, thekings of Wales, Hywl, Clydog and Idwal and all the people of Wales. Also submitting to him was the King of the Scots and the wholeScottish nation, which accepted him as "father and lord." Hissubjects included the inhabitants of Northumbria (both English andDanish, Norweigians and others); together with the king od theStrathclyde Welsh.

Source: "The Oxford Book of Royal Anecdotes", Elizabeth Longford, Oxford University Press, 1991, p. 20

Generation 40

Otto (son of Ludolph and Oda) was born about 836 AD. He died on 30 Nov 912 AD. He married **Hedwig**.

Hedwig She died on 24 Dec 903 AD.

Count Dietrick of Ringelheim

Ragnvald I Eysteinsson (son of Eystein Glumra Ivarsson and Aseda Rognvaldsdatter) was

born about 830 AD in Maer, Nord Trondelag, Norway. He died in 890 AD in Orkney, Orkney Islands, Scotland. He married **Ragnhild Hrolfsdotter** (daughter of Hrolf Nefia) about 844 AD.

Ragnhild Hrolfsdotter (daughter of Hrolf Nefia) was born in Maer, Nord Trondelag, Norway.

Notes for Ragnvald I Eysteinsson:

Ragnvald I Eysteinsson was also known as Ragnvald the Might orRagnvald the Wise. He was Jarl of the Uplands. He became one of KingHarald's men in 866. Rangwold gave the king the name of Harfager (FairHair). Ragnvald defeated Solve Kold of More and King Novke of Romsdalat the Battle of Solskel in 867. He was given those districts by KingHarald "Fair Hair." So, he is the earliest of the Kings of Finlandthat we list at this time.

He represents the descendants of Eystein Glumra Ivarsson who foundtheir way into Royalty, chiefly English Royalty, and later into American history leadership.

Berenger He died in 890 AD.

Alfred (son of Aethelwulf and Osburga) was born in 849 AD in Wantage, Berkshire (now Oxfordshire), England. He died on 26 Oct 899 AD. He married **Ealhswith** (daughter of Earl Aethelred) in 868 AD.

Ealhswith She died about 905 AD.

Notes for Alfred:

Alfred the Great lived from 849 AD till 26 Oct 899 AD. He has no direct relationship to my immediate family. However is the 37th great grandfather to my son-in-law, Steven O. Westmoreland. Various descendants of Alfred's were related to my ancestors. For example, King Edward the Confessor, the third great grandson of Alfred's was a first cousin, once removed to King William the Conqueror, my seventh cousin, 26 times removed.

Alfred was one of the greatest men in history. He founded the British Navy, organized the militia, compiled a code of laws, built schools and monasteries, and invited scholars to live at his court. He was a good scholar and translated many books himself. Alfred is the 24th great grandfather of Edward Southworth, the first husband of Alice Carpenter, my seventh great grandmother by her second husband, Plymouth Colony Governor William Bradford. Southworth also is my 25th cousin, eight times removed. Alfred is the 38th great grandfather of our Westmoreland grandchildren, Katie, Jack, Lily, Sarah and Sam.

Alfred the Great was king of the West Saxons in southwestern England. He saved his kingdom, Wessex, from the Danish Vikings and laid the basis for the unification of England under the West Saxon monarchy. He also led a revival of learning and literature. He was such an outstanding leader in war and peace that he is the only English King known as "the Great."

Alfred was born in Wantage (now in Oxfordshire), England. He was the youngest son of King Ethelwulf of Wessex. According to the Welsh writer, Asser, who wrote a biography of Alfred shortly after his death, Alfred was always eager to learn. Asser says that Alfred's mother offered a book of Anglo-Saxon poems as a prize to the first of her sons who could read it. Alfred won. As a boy, Alfred twice went to Rome, where the pope acknowledged the status of the royal house of Wessex. The journeys also showed Alfred the contrast between England and the more advanced parts of Europe.

Alfred became king in 871 at the death of his brother Ethelred. The West Saxons had been at war with the Danes for many years. After several losing battles, Alfred made peace with the invaders. But the Danes renewed their attacks and defeated Alfred at the Battle of

Chippenham in 877. Alfred then defeated the Danes at the Battle of Edington in 878. The Danish leader, Guthrum, agreed to be baptized a Christian. He also agreed to stay north and east of the River Thames, in an area called the Danelaw. However, the Danes broke the peace, and Alfred renewed the war. He won London in 886. All the English people not subject to the Danes recognized Alfred as their ruler and paid him homage. The old, independent Anglo-Saxon kingdoms began to merge under the rule of Wessex.

Alfred built forts and boroughs (fortified towns) at strategic points. He stationed his fleet along the coast as protection against further invasions. He also issued a code of laws to restore peaceful government.

Before Alfred, education had declined in England, because the Danes had looted monasteries and churches, the centers of learning. Alfred revived learning by bringing teachers and writers from Wales and continental Europe. He encouraged the translation of famous Christian books from Latin into Old English. Under his influence, the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle began to be compiled. It is now the main source for Anglo-Saxon history up to 1154.

Contributor: Joel T. Rosenthal, Ph.D., Professor. of History, State University. of New York, Stony Brook, World Book Encyclopedia 1998

The reign of Alfred was known for more than military success. He was a codifier of law, a promoter of education and a supporter of the arts. He, himself, was a scholar and translated Latin books into the Anglo-Saxon tongue. The definitive contemporary work on Alfred's life is an unfinished account in Latin by Bishop Asser, a Welshman, bishop of Sherbourne and Alfred's counsellor. After his death, he was buried in his capital city of Winchester."

Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/mon6.html

Although similar to the Anglo-Saxon Chronicle in its analystic approach, Bishop Asser personalized his "Life of King Alfred" so that the man, and not just the Christian king who vanquished the paganistic heathen, was presented. Asser's "Life" differs also in its use of Latin, not the vernacular in which most sources from Alfred's reign are written.

Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/docs/asser.html

Notes for Ealhswith:

She was known as Δ hlswith of the Gainas.

Sigehelm

Generation 41

110 **Ludolph** was born about 816 AD. He died on 06 Sep 864 AD. He married **Oda**. 700

240

8.

110 Oda

700

240

9.

110 Arnulf He married Oda. 700 241 0. 110 Oda 700 241 1. 110 Eystein Glumra Ivarsson (son of Ivar Halfdansson and Eysteinsdatter) was born in 788 AD 700 in Maer, Nord Trondelag, Norway. He died about 872 AD in Norway. He married Aseda 242 Rognvaldsdatter. 4. 110 Aseda Rognvaldsdatter (daughter of Rangwald) was born about 804 AD in Maer, Nord 700 Trondelag, Norway. 242 Notes for Eystein Glumra Ivarsson: 5. Eystein Glumra Ivarsson was Earl or Jarl of the Uplands about the year810 AD. Eystein is the ancestor the Abney's have in common with theline of William the Conqueror. He is Williams's sixth greatgrandfather and my 32nd great grandfather. Eystein's title is Earl ofHendemarken. He was known as 'the Noisy,' possibly an aka for Eyesteinof ORKNEY Source:http://freepages.genealogy.rootsweb.com/~jamesdow/s052/f280253.htm Eystein Glumra Ivarsson is the 25th great grandfather of the firstPresident of the United States, General George Washington. He is the 29th great grandfather of President Abraham Lincoln. Glumra Ivarssonis my 32nd great grandfather. Eystein Glumra Ivarsson is the ancestor in common between my daughter, Tiffany Lenn Sharpe Westmoreland and her husband. Steven O. Westmoreland. They are related to each other as 34th cousins, fourtimes removed, as well as by husband and wife status. **Hrolf Nefia** 110 700 242 6. Aethelwulf (son of Egbert and Redburg) was born in 806 AD in Wessex, England. He died 110 700 on 13 Jan 858 AD in England. He married Osburga. 313 6. 110 Osburga 700 313 Notes for Aethelwulf: 7. Aethelwulf is the 25th great grandfather of Edward Southworth, my 25thcousin, eight times removed, and the first husband of Alice Carpenter. She is my eighth great grandmother through her second husband, Plymouth Colony Govenor William Bradford. Ethelwulf (as it also isspelled) is the 39th great grandfather of our Westmorelandgrandchildren, Katherine (Katie), John David (Jack), and twins Lilyand Sarah. Aethelwulf is the 32nd great grandfather of EnglishChristian of great staute, Smith Wigglesworth.

Aethelwulf was the son of Egbert and a sub-king of Kent. He assumed the throne of Wessex upon his father's death in 839. His reign ischaracterized by the usual Viking invasions and

repulsions common toall English rulers of the time, but the making of war was not hischief claim to fame.

Aethelwulf is remembered, however dimly, as a highly religious man whocared about the establishment and preservation of the church. He wasalso a wealthy man and controlled vast resources. Out of theseresources, he gave generously, to Rome and to religious houses thatwere in nee

He was an only child, but fathered five sons, by his first wife,Osburga. He recognized that there could be difficulties withcontention over the succession. He devised a scheme which wouldguarantee (insofar as it was possible to do so) that each child wouldhave his turn on the throne without having to worry about rival claimsfrom his siblings. Aethelwulf provided that the oldest living childwould succeed to the throne and would control all the resources of thecrown, without having them divided among the others, so that he wouldhave adequate resources to rule. That he was able to provide for thecontinuation of his dynasty is a matter of record, but he was not ableto guarantee familial harmony with his plan. This is proved by what weknow of the foul plotting of his son, Aethelbald, while Aethelwulf wason pilgrimage to Rome in 855.

Aethelwulf was a wise and capable ruler, whose vision made possiblethe beneficial reign of his youngest son, Alfred the Great.

Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/mon2.html

Ethelwulf became king of the West Saxons in England when his father, Egbert, died in 839. In 851, he became the first ruler in all western Europe to defeat a Viking army in a major battle.

Source: David L. Beckworth, http://www.smokykin.com/ged/f002/f67/a0026721.htm

Earl Aethelred

110 700

313

8.

Generation 42

Carloman He married Litwinde.

Litwinde

Ivar Halfdansson (son of Halfdan Vanha Sveidasson) was born in Oppland, Norway. He married **Eysteinsdatter** in Oppland, Norway.

Eysteinsdatter was born about 785 AD in Trondeim, Norway.

Notes for Ivar Halfdansson:

Ivar "the Great" was Jarl of the Uplands. He was living around 800,but we do not have birth and death dates on him. He is mythirty-third great grandfather.

Rangwald

Egbert (son of Eahlmund and UNNAMED) was born in 775 AD in Wessex, England. He died on 19 Nov 838 AD in Wessex, England. He married **Redburg**.

Redburg was born in 788 AD.

Notes for Egbert:

Egbert was King of Wessex in England from 802 to his death in 839.Offa, the King of Mercia drove him into exile in 789. Egbert lived inGaul (France) for three years, where he saw the expansion ofCharlemagne's empire. After gaining the West Saxon throne, Egbertdestroyed the supremacy of Mercia in England. By conquering Cornwall,Kent, Surrey, and Sussex, he enlarged Wessex and made his kingdomsupreme. The Mercians, East Anglians, and Northumbrians recognizedhis rule. His reign foreshadowed the later growth of a unitedEngland.

Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/monarchs/mon1.html

Oslac

	Generation 43
133 042 344.	Louis He married Emma.
133 042 345.	Emma
133 042 400.	Halfdan Vanha Sveidasson (son of Sveidi Svidrasson) was born about 750 AD in Norway.
133 045 248.	Eahlmund (son of Eafa and UNNAMED) was born in 758 AD in Wessex, England. He died in 788 AD. He married UNNAMED .
133 045 249.	UNNAMED was born in 762 AD.
	Generation 44
266 084 688.	Louis
266 084 800.	Sveidi Svidrasson (son of Svidri Heytsson) was born in Raumsdal, Telemark, Norway.
266 090 496.	Eafa (son of Eoppa and UNNAMED) was born in 732 AD in Wessex, England. He married UNNAMED .
266 090 497.	UNNAMED was born in 736 AD.

Generation 45

- 532 Charlemagne (son of Pipin and Bertha Bertrada De Laon) was born on 02 Apr 742 AD in
- 169 Ingelheim, Rheinhessen, Hessen-Darmstadt, Prussia. He died in 814 AD in Aachen,
- 376. Rhineland, Prussia. He married Hildegarde.
- 532 Hildegarde

169

377. Notes for Charlemagne:

Charlemagne (called Charles the Great due to his great height) was King of the Franks and Emperor of the Holy Roman Empire.

Charlemagne is the 38th great grandfather of U. S. President Franklin Delano Roosevelt, my half eighth cousin. Roosevelt is the 18th cousin, four times removed to my son-in-law, Steven O. Westmoreland.

Charlemagne is the 29th great grandfather of Edward Southworth, the first husband of Alice Carpenter, my seven times great grandmother through her second marriage, which was to Governor William Bradford. Edward Southworth also is my 25th cousin, eight times removed.

Charlemagne is the 34th great grandfather of President John Quincy Adams, my 31st cousin, twice removed. Our ancestors in common are Ragnvald I (died in 890 AD) and his wife, Hild, who were the 28th great grandparents to John Quincy Adams.

In addition, King Charlemagne is the 41st great grandfather of Steven Otis Westmoreland, the dashing young man who married our daughter, Tiffany Lenn Sharpe! This co-mingling of families made our daughter a thirty-fourth cousin, four times removed from her husband prior to their marriage. Another family tie is that Charlemagne shares the same birthday as my wife, Suzanne Margaret Boggess Sharpe.

We can learn about Charlemagne easily from public domain information on the Internet. Here is a sample:

"He was six feet four inches tall, and built to scale. He had beautiful white hair, animated eyes, a powerful nose... a presence' always stately and dignified.' He was temperate in eating and drinking, abominated drunkenness, and kept in good health despite every exposure and hardship." This quote is from Eginhardt (the King's secretary) describing Charlemagne

Charlemagne (Charles the Great) was born on April 2, 742 in Northern Europe. "By the sword and the cross," he became master of Western Europe. Through his enlightened leadership the roots of learning and order were restored to Medieval Europe.

In 768, when Charlemagne was 26, he and his brother Carloman inherited the kingdom of the Franks. In 771 Carloman died, and Charlemagne became sole ruler of the kingdom. At that time the Franks were falling back into barbarian ways, neglecting their education and religion. The Saxons of northern Europe were still pagans. In the south, the Roman Catholic church was asserting its power to recover land confiscated by the Lombard kingdom of Italy. Europe was in turmoil.

Charlemagne was determined to strengthen his realm and to bring order to Europe. In 772 he launched a 30-year military campaign to accomplish this objective. By 800 Charlemagne was the undisputed ruler of Western Europe. His vast realm encompassed what are now France, Switzerland, Belgium, and The Netherlands. It included half of present-day Italy and Germany, and parts of Austria and Spain. By establishing a central government over Western Europe, Charlemagne restored much of the unity of the old Roman Empire and paved the way for the development of modern Europe.

On Christmas Day in 800, while Charlemagne knelt in prayer in SaintPeter's in Rome, Pope Leo III placed a golden crown on the bowed head of the king. Charlemagne is said to have been surprised by the coronation, declaring that he would not have come into the church had he known the pope's plan. However, some historians say the pope would not have dared to act without Charlemagne's knowledge.

Charlemagne learned to read Latin and some Greek, but apparently did not master writing. At meals, instead of having jesters perform, he listened to visiting scholars read from learned works. Charlemagne believed that government should be for the benefit of the governed. He was a tireless reformer who tried to improve his people's lives. He set up money standards to encourage commerce, urged better farming methods and worked to spread education and Christianity.

I give thanks for the description above provided through the web site at www.lucidcafe.com/library/96apr/charlemagne.html.

Another more detailed telling of the life and effects of KingCharlemagne:

Durant, Will. "King Charlemagne", History of Civilization Vol III, TheAge of Faith. Electronic version in the Knighthood, Tournaments & Chivalry Resource Library, Ed. Brian R. Price.

Svidri Heytsson (son of Heiti Gorsson) was born in Raumsdal, Telemark, Norway.

http://www.chronique.com/Library/MedHistory/charlemagne.htm

532

160

4.

600.	
532 180 992.	Eoppa (son of Ingild and UNNAMED) was born in 706 AD in Wessex, England. He married UNNAMED .
532 180 993.	UNNAMED was born in 710 AD.
	Generation 46
106 433 875 2.	Pipin (son of Charles Martel and Rotrude) was born in 714 AD in Ingelheim, Rheinhessen, Hessen-Darmstadt, Prussia. He died on 24 Sep 768 AD in Sst. Denis, Paris, Seine, France. He married Bertha Bertrada De Laon about 740 AD in Laon, Aisne, France.
106 433 875 3.	Bertha Bertrada De Laon was born in 720 AD in Laon, France. She died on 12 Jul 783 AD in Aachen, Austrasia.
106 433 920 0.	Heiti Gorsson (son of Gor Thorrasson) was born in Raumsdal, Telemark, Norway.
106 436	Ingild (son of Cenred and UNNAMED) was born in 680 AD in Wessex, England. He died in 718 AD. He married UNNAMED .

- 106 UNNAMED was born in 684 AD.
- 436
- 198 Notes for Ingild:

5.

Ingild did not rule.

Generation 47

212 **Charles Martel** (son of Pipin and Alpaide Chalpaide) was born on 23 Aug 686 AD in Austrasia, France. He died on 22 Oct 741 AD in Quierzy, Austrasia. He married **Rotrude**.

750

4.

212 Rotrude was born in 690 AD in 724.

867

750 Notes for Charles Martel:

5.

Charles 'the Hammer' Martel (August 23, 686? October 22, 741) is the 45th great grandfather of my Westmoreland grandchildren, children of my daughter, Tiffany Lenn Sharpe, and her husband, Steven O. Westmoreland, through whom the Martel line comes.

"He was proclaimed Mayor of the Palace and ruled the Franks in thename of a titular King. Late in his reign he proclaimed himself Dukeof the Franks (the last four years of his reign he did not even botherwith the faÁade of a King) and by any name was de facto ruler of theFrankish Realms. In 739 he was offered an office of Roman consul bythe Pope, which he rejected[1] possibly not to conflict with TheodatusUrsus who already occupied the office by appointment of the Byzantineemperor Leo III the Isaurian. He expanded his rule over all three ofthe Frankish kingdoms: Austrasia, Neustria and Burgundy. Martel wasborn in Herstal, in present-day Belgium, the illegitimate son ofPippin the Middle and his concubine Alpaida (or Chalpaida).[2] He wasdescribed by Louis Gustave and Charles Strauss in their book "Moslemand Frank; or, Charles Martel and the rescue of Europe" as a tall, powerfully built man, who was more agile than his size would lead mento believe.

"He is best remembered for winning the Battle of Tours in 732, whichhas traditionally been characterized as an event that halted theIslamic expansionism in Europe that had conquered Iberia.[3]"Charles's victory has often been regarded as decisive for worldhistory, since it preserved western Europe from Muslim conquest andIslamization."[4]

"In addition to being the leader of the army that prevailed at Tours, Charles Martel was a truly giant figure of the Middle Ages. Abrilliant general, he is considered the forefather of western heavycavalry, chivalry, founder of the Carolingian Empire (which was namedafter him), and a catalyst for the feudal system, which would see Europe through the Middle Ages. Although some recent scholars havesuggested he was more of a beneficiary of the feudal system than aknowing agent for social change, others continue to see him as the primary catalyst for the feudal system.[5]

"The following tale is told of Charles, and the origins of his name:in 686, Pippin II and his wife Plectrude were talking together in aroom when they were intruded upon by a messenger, bringing news thatthe Mayor's mistress, Alpaida, had given birth to a son at Herstal. Themessenger, fearful of arousing the wrath of Plectrude, decided not toannounce the news directly. Instead, he said: "Long live the king, itis a carl" ('man'). Pippin, equally cautious of his wife, dismissedthe messenger as follows: "A carl, is it? Then let him be calledthat." This was done, and, so legend claims, the child was named "Carl". Alpaida also bore Pippin another son, Childebrand.

"In December 714, Pippin the Middle (Pippin II) died. Prior to hisdeath, he had, at his wife Plectrude's urging, designated Theudoald,his grandson by their son Grimoald, his heir in

the entire realm. Thiswas immediately opposed by the nobles because Theudoald was a child ofonly eight years of age. To prevent Charles using this unrest to hisown advantage, Plectrude had him gaoled (jailed) in Cologne, the citywhich was destined to be her capital. This prevented an uprising onhis behalf in Austrasia, but not in Neustria

"In 715, the Neustrian noblesse proclaimed Ragenfrid mayor of theirpalace on behalf of, and apparently with the support of, Dagobert III, the young king, who in theory had the legal authority to select amayor, though by this time the Merovingian dynasty had lost most suchpowers.

"The Austrasians were not to be left supporting a woman and her youngson for long. Before the end of the year, Charles Martel had escapedfrom prison and been acclaimed mayor by the nobles of that kingdom. The Neustrians had been attacking Austrasia and the nobles werewaiting for a strong man to lead them against their invading countrymen. That year, Dagobert died and the Neustrians proclaimed Chilperic II king without the support of the rest of the Frankishpeople.

"In 716, Chilperic and Ragenfrid together led an army into Austrasia. The Neustrians allied with another invading force under Radbod, Kingof the Frisians and met Charles in battle near Cologne, which wasstill held by Plectrude. Charles had little time to gather men, orprepare, and the result was his only defeat. According to Strauss andGustave, Martel fought a brilliant battle, but realized he could notprevail because he was outnumbered so badly, and retreated. In fact, he fled the field as soon as he realized he did not have the time orthe men to prevail, retreating to the mountains of the Eifel to gathermen, and train them. The king and his mayor then turned to besiegetheir other rival in the city and took it and the treasury, andreceived the recognition of both Chilperic as king and Ragenfrid asmayor. Plectrude surrendered on Theudoald's behalf.

Magnanimous in victory

"At this juncture, however, events turned in favour of Charles. Havingmade the proper preparations, he fell upon the triumphant army nearMalmedy as it was returning to its own province, and, in the ensuingBattle of AmblËve, routed it and it fled. Several things were notableabout this battle, in which Charles set the pattern for the remainderof his military career: First, he appeared where his enemies leastexpected him, while they were marching triumphantly home and faroutnumbered him. He also attacked when least expected, at midday, whenarmies of that era traditionally were resting. Finally, he attackedthem how they least expected it, by feigning a retreat to draw hisopponents into a trap. The feigned retreat, next to unknown in WesternEurope at that time?it was a traditionally eastern tactic? requiredboth extraordinary discipline on the part of the troops and exacttiming on the part of their commander. Charles, in this battle, hadbegun demonstrating the military genius that would mark his rule, inthat he never attacked his enemies where, when, or how they expected, and the result was an unbroken victory streak that lasted until hisdeath.

"In Spring 717, Charles returned to Neustria with an army andconfirmed his supremacy with a victory at the Battle of Vincy, nearCambrai. He chased the fleeing king and mayor to Paris, before turningback to deal with Plectrude and Cologne. He took her city and dispersed her adherents. However, he allowed both Plectrude and theyoung Theudoald to live and treated them with kindness?unusual forthose Dark Ages, when mercy to a former jailer, or a potential rival,was rare. On this success, he proclaimed Clotaire IV king of Austrasiain opposition to Chilperic and deposed the archbishop of Rheims,Rigobert, replacing him with Milo, a lifelong supporter.

Consolidation of power

"After subjugating all Austrasia, he marched against Radbod and pushedhim back into his territory, even forcing the concession of WestFrisia (later Holland). He also sent the Saxons back over the Weserand thus secured his borders?in the name of the new king Clotaire, ofcourse. In 718, Chilperic responded to Charles' new ascendancy bymaking an alliance

with Odo the Great (or Eudes, as he is sometimesknown), the duke of Aquitaine, who had made himself independent duringthe civil war in 715, but was again defeated, at the Battle ofSoissons, by Charles. The king fled with his ducal ally to the landsouth of the Loire and Ragenfrid fled to Angers. Soon Clotaire IV diedand Odo gave up on Chilperic and, in exchange for recognising hisdukedom, surrendered the king to Charles, who recognised his kingshipover all the Franks in return for legitimate royal affirmation of hismayoralty, likewise over all the kingdoms (718).

Foreign wars from 718-732

"The ensuing years were full of strife. Between 718 and 723, Charlessecured his power through a series of victories: he won the loyalty ofseveral important bishops and abbots (by donating lands and money forthe foundation of abbeys such as Echternach), he subjugated Bavariaand Alemannia, and he defeated the pagan Saxons.

"Having unified the Franks under his banner, Charles was determined topunish the Saxons who had invaded Austrasia. Therefore, late in 718,he laid waste their country to the banks of the Weser, the Lippe, andthe Ruhr. He defeated them in the Teutoburg Forest. In 719, Charlesseized West Frisia without any great resistance on the part of theFrisians, who had been subjects of the Franks but had seized controlupon the death of Pippin. Although Charles did not trust the pagans,their ruler, Aldegisel, accepted Christianity, and Charles sentWillibrord, bishop of Utrecht, the famous 'Apostle to the Frisians' toconvert the people. Charles also did much to support Winfrid, laterSaint Boniface, the "Apostle of the Germans."

"When Chilperic II died the following year (720), Charles appointed ashis successor the son of Dagobert III, Theuderic IV, who was still aminor, and who occupied the throne from 720 to 737. Charles was nowappointing the kings whom he supposedly served, rois fainÈants whowere mere puppets in his hands; by the end of his reign they were souseless that he didn't even bother appointing one. At this time, Charles again marched against the Saxons. Then the Neustrians rebelledunder Ragenfrid, who had been left the county of Anjou. They were easily defeated (724), but Ragenfrid gave up his sons as hostages inturn for keeping his county. This ended the civil wars of Charles'reign.

"The next six years were devoted in their entirety to assuringFrankish authority over the dependent Germanic tribes. Between 720 and 723, Charles was fighting in Bavaria, where the Agilolfing dukes hadgradually evolved into independent rulers, recently in alliance withLiutprand the Lombard. He forced the Alemanni to accompany him, and Duke Hugbert submitted to Frankish suzerainty. In 725 and 728, heagain entered Bavaria and the ties of lordship seemed strong. From hisfirst campaign, he brought back the Agilolfing princess Swanachild, who apparently became his concubine. In 730, he marched against Lantfrid, duke of Alemannia, who had also become independent, and killed him in battle. He forced the Alemanni capitulation to Frankish suzerainty and did not appoint a successor to Lantfrid. Thus, southern Germany once more became part of the Frankish kingdom, as had northern Germany during the first years of the reign.

"By 730, his own realm secure, Charles began to prepare exclusivelyfor the coming storm from the south and west.

"In 721, the emir of CÛrdoba had built up a strong army from Morocco, Yemen, and Syria to conquer Aquitaine, the large duchy in thesouthwest of Gaul, nominally under Frankish sovereignty, but inpractice almost independent in the hands of the Odo the Great, the Duke of Aquitaine, since the Merovingian kings had lost power. Theinvading Muslims besieged the city of Toulouse, then Aquitaine's mostimportant city, and Odo (also called Eudes, or Eudo) immediately leftto find help. He returned three months later just before the city was about to surrender and defeated the Muslim invaders on June 9, 721, atwhat is now known as the Battle of Toulouse. This critical defeat was essentially the result of a classic enveloping movement by Odo's forces. (After Odo originally fled, the Muslims became overconfidentand, instead of maintaining strong outer defenses around their siegecamp and continuous scouting, they did neither.) Thus, when Odoreturned, he was able to launch

a near complete surprise attack on thebesieging force, scattering it at the first attack, and slaughteringunits caught resting or that fled without weapons or armour.

"Due to the situation in Iberia, Martel believed he needed a virtuallyfulltime army --one he could train intensely-- as a core of veteranFranks who would be augmented with the usual conscripts called up intime of war. (During the Early Middle Ages, troops were only availableafter the crops had been planted and before harvesting time.) To trainthe kind of infantry that could withstand the Muslim heavy cavalry, Charles needed them year-round, and he needed to pay them so theirfamilies could buy the food they would have otherwise grown. To obtainmoney he seized church lands and property, and used the funds to payhis soldiers. The same Charles who had secured the support of theecclesia by donating land, seized some of it back between 724 and 732.Of course, Church officials were enraged, and, for a time, it lookedas though Charles might even be excommunicated for his actions. Butthen came a significant invasion.

Eve of Tours

"'Historian Paul K. Davis said in 100 Decisive Battles Having defeatedEudes, he turned to the Rhine to strengthen his northeastern borders -but in 725 was diverted south with the activity of the Muslims inAcquitane.' Martel then concentrated his attention to the Umayyads, virtually for the remainder of his life.[6] Indeed, 12 years later, when he had thrice rescued Gaul from Umayyad invasions, AntonioSantosuosso noted when he destroyed an Umayyad army sent to reinforcethe invasion forces of the 735 campaigns, "Charles Martel again cameto the rescue".[7] It has been noted that Charles Martel could havepursued the wars against the Saxons?but he was determined to preparefor what he thought was a greater danger.

"It is also vital to note that the Muslims were not aware, at thattime, of the true strength of the Franks, or the fact that they werebuilding a real army instead of the typical barbarian hordes that hadinfested Europe after Rome's fall. They considered the Germanictribes, including the Franks, simply barbarians and were notparticularly concerned about them. The Arab Chronicles, the history ofthat age, show that Arab awareness of the Franks as a growing militarypower came only after the Battle of Tours when the Caliph expressedshock at his army's catastrophic defeat.

Battle of Tours

Main article Battle of Tours.

Leadup and importance

"It was under one of their ablest and most renowned commanders, with aveteran army, and with every apparent advantage of time, place, and circumstance, that the Arabs made their great effort at the conquestof Europe north of the Pyrenees."[8]

Edward Shepherd Creasy, The Fifteen Decisive Battles of the World

"The Cordoban emirate had previously invaded Gaul and had been stoppedin its northward sweep at the Battle of Toulouse, in 721. The hero ofthat less celebrated event had been Odo the Great, Duke of Aquitaine, who was not the progenitor of a race of kings and patron ofchroniclers. It has previously been explained how Odo defeated theinvading Muslims, but when they returned, things were far different. The arrival in the interim of a new emir of Cordoba, Abdul Rahman AlGhafiqi, who brought with him a huge force of Arabs and Berberhorsemen, triggered a far greater invasion. Abdul Rahman Al Ghafiqihad been at Toulouse, and the Arab Chronicles make clear he hadstrongly opposed the Emir's decision not to secure outer defensesagainst a relief force, which allowed Odo and his relief force toattack with impunity before the Islamic cavalry could assemble ormount. Abdul Rahman Al Ghafiqi had no intention of permitting such adisaster again. This time the Umayyad horsemen were ready for battle, and the results were horrific for the Aquitanians. Odo, hero

ofToulouse, was badly defeated in the Muslim invasion of 732 at thebattle prior to the Muslim sacking of Bordeaux, and when he gathered asecond army, at the Battle of the River Garonne?where the westernchroniclers state, "God alone knows the number of the slain"? and thecity of Bordeaux was sacked and looted. Odo fled to Charles, seekinghelp. Charles agreed to come to Odo's rescue, provided Odoacknowledged Charles and his house as his Overlords, which Odo didformally at once. Thus, Odo faded into history while Charles marchedinto it. It is interesting to note that Charles was pragmatic; whilemost commanders would never use their enemies in battle, Odo and hisremaining Aquitanian nobles formed the right flank of Charles' forcesat Tours.

"The Battle of Tours earned Charles the cognomen "Martel" ('Hammer'), for the merciless way he hammered his enemies. Many historians, including the great military historian Sir Edward Creasy, believe thathad he failed at Tours, Islam would probably have overrun Gaul, andperhaps the remainder of western Christian Europe. Gibbon made clearhis belief that the Umayyad armies would have conquered from Rome tothe Rhine, and even England, having the English Channel forprotection, with ease, had Martel not prevailed. Creasy said "thegreat victory won by Charles Martel ... gave a decisive check to thecareer of Arab conquest in Western Europe, rescued Christendom from Islam, [and] preserved the relics of ancient and the germs of moderncivilization." Gibbon's belief that the fate of Christianity hinged onthis battle is echoed by other historians including John B. Bury, andwas very popular for most of modern historiography. It fell somewhatout of style in the twentieth century, when historians such as BernardLewis contended that Arabs had little intention of occupying northernFrance. More recently, however, many historians have tended once againto view the Battle of Tours as a very significant event in the historyof Europe and Christianity, Equally, many, such as William Watson, still believe this battle was one of macrohistorical world-changingimportance, if they do not go so far as Gibbon does rhetorically.

"In the modern era, Matthew Bennett and his co-authors of "FightingTechniques of the Medieval World", published in 2005, argue that "fewbattles are remembered 1,000 years after they are fought...but theBattle of Poitiers, (Tours) is an exception...Charles Martel turnedback a Muslim raid that had it been allowed to continue, might haveconquered Gaul." Michael Grant, author of "History of Rome", grantsthe Battle of Tours such importance that he lists it in themacrohistorical dates of the Roman era.

"It is important to note however that modern western historians, military historians, and writers, essentially fall into three camps. The first, those who believe Gibbon was right in his assessment that Martel saved Christianity and western civilization by this Battle are typified by Bennett, Paul Davis, Robert Martin, and educationalist Dexter B. Wakefield who writes in An Islamic Europe:

A Muslim France? Historically, it nearly happened. But as a result of Martel's fierce opposition, which ended Muslim advances and set the stage for centuries of war thereafter, Islam moved no farther into Europe. European schoolchildren learn about the Battle of Tours inmuch the same way that American students learn about Valley Forge and Gettysburg."[9] ?

"The second camp of contemporary historians believe that a failure byMartel at Tours could have been a disaster, destroying what wouldbecome western civilization after the Renaissance. Certainly allhistorians agree that no power would have remained in Europe able tohalt Islamic expansion had the Franks failed. William E. Watson, oneof the most respected historians of this era, strongly supports Toursas a macrohistorical event, but distances himself from the rhetoric of Gibbon and Drubeck, writing, for example, of the battle's importancein Frankish, and world, history in 1993:

?There is clearly some justification for ranking Tours-Poitiers amongthe most significant events in Frankish history when one considers theresult of the battle in light of the remarkable record of thesuccessful establishment by Muslims of Islamic political and culturaldominance along the entire eastern and southern rim of the formerChristian, Roman

world. The rapid Muslim conquest of Palestine, Syria, Egypt and the North African coast all the way to Morocco in theseventh century resulted in the permanent imposition by force ofIslamic culture onto a previously Christian and largely non-Arab base. The Visigothic kingdom fell to Muslim conquerors in a single battle onthe Rio Barbate in 711, and the Hispanic Christian population tookseven long centuries to regain control of the Iberian Peninsula. TheReconquista, of course, was completed in 1492, only months beforeColumbus received official backing for his fateful voyage across theAtlantic Ocean. Had Charles Martel suffered at Tours-Poitiers the fateof King Roderick at the Rio Barbate, it is doubtful that a"do-nothing" sovereign of the Merovingian realm could have latersucceeded where his talented major domus had failed. Indeed, asCharles was the progenitor of the Carolingian line of Frankish rulersand grandfather of Charlemagne, one can even say with a degree ofcertainty that the subsequent history of the West would have proceededalong vastly different currents had ?Abd ar-Rahman been victorious atTours-Poitiers in 732.[10]

"The final camp of western historians believe that Tours was vastlyoverrated. This view is typified by Alessandro Barbero, who writes, "Today, historians tend to play down the significance of the battle ofPoitiers, pointing out that the purpose of the Arab force defeated by Charles Martel was not to conquer the Frankish kingdom, but simply topillage the wealthy monastery of St-Martin of Tours".[11] Similarly, Toma? Mastnak writes:

?Modern historians have constructed a myth presenting this victory ashaving saved Christian Europe from the Muslims. Edward Gibbon, forexample, called Charles Martel the savior of Christendom and thebattle near Poitiers an encounter that changed the history of theworld... This myth has survived well into our own times...Contemporaries of the battle, however, did not overstate itssignificance. The continuators of Fredegar's chronicle, who probablywrote in the mid-eighth century, pictured the battle as just one ofmany military encounters between Christians and Saracens - moreover, as only one in a series of wars fought by Frankish princes for bootyand territory... One of Fredegar's continuators presented the battleof Poitiers as what it really was: an episode in the struggle between Christian princes as the Carolingians strove to bring Aquitaine undertheir rule.[12]

"However, it is vital to note, when assessing Charles Martel's life, that even those historians who dispute the significance of this oneBattle as the event that saved Christianity, do not dispute that Martel himself had a huge effect on western history. Modern military historian Victor Davis Hanson acknowledges the debate on this battle, citing historians both for and against its macrohistorical placement:

?Recent scholars have suggested Poitiers, so poorly recorded incontemporary sources, was a mere raid and thus a construct of westernmythmaking or that a Muslim victory might have been preferable tocontinued Frankish dominance. What is clear is that Poitiers marked ageneral continuance of the successful defense of Europe, (from theMuslims). Flush from the victory at Tours, Charles Martel went on toclear southern France from Islamic attackers for decades, unify thewarring kingdoms into the foundations of the Carolingian Empire, andensure ready and reliable troops from local estates.".[13]

Battle

"The Battle of Tours probably took place somewhere between Tours andPoitiers (hence its other name: Battle of Poitiers). The Frankisharmy, under Charles Martel, consisted mostly of veteran infantry, somewhere between 15,000 and 75,000 men. While Charles had somecavalry, they did not have stirrups, so he had them dismount andreinforce his phalanx. Odo and his Aquitanian nobility were alsonormally cavalry, but they also dismounted at the Battle's onset, tobuttress the phalanx. Responding to the Umayyad invasion, the Frankshad avoided the old Roman roads, hoping to take the invaders bysurprise. Martel believed it was absolutely essential that he not onlytake the Umayyads by surprise, but that he be allowed to select theground on which the battle would be fought, ideally a high, woodedplain where the Islamic horsemen, already tired from carrying armour, would be further exhausted

charging uphill. Further, the woods wouldaid the Franks in their defensive square by partially impeding theability of the Umayyad horsemen to make a clear charge.

"From the Muslim accounts of the battle, they were indeed taken bysurprise to find a large force opposing their expected sack of Tours, and they waited for six days, scouting the enemy and summoning alltheir raiding parties so their full strength was present for thebattle. Emir Abdul Rahman was an able general who did not like theunknown at all, and he did not like charging uphill against an unknownnumber of foes who seemed well-disciplined and well-disposed forbattle. But the weather was also a factor. The Germanic Franks, intheir wolf and bear pelts, were more used to the cold, better dressedfor it, and despite not having tents, which the Muslims did, wereprepared to wait as long as needed, the autumn only growing colder.

"On the seventh day, the Umayyad army, mostly Berber and Arab horsemenand led by Abdul Rahman Al Ghafiqi, attacked. During the battle, theFranks defeated the Islamic army and the emir was killed. WhileWestern accounts are sketchy, Arab accounts are fairly detailed indescribing how the Franks formed a large square and fought a brilliantdefensive battle. Rahman had doubts before the battle that his menwere ready for such a struggle, and should have had them abandon theloot which hindered them, but instead decided to trust his horsemen,who had never failed him. Indeed, it was thought impossible forinfantry of that age to withstand armoured cavalry.

"Martel managed to inspire his men to stand firm against a force whichmust have seemed invincible to them, huge mailed horsemen, who, inaddition, probably vastly outnumbered the Franks. In one of the rareinstances where medieval infantry stood up against cavalry charges, the disciplined Frankish soldiers withstood the assaults even though, according to Arab sources, the Umayyad cavalry several times brokeinto the interior of the Frankish square. The scene is described inBishop Isidore of Beja's Chronicle (translated passage from FordhamUniversity's Internet Medieval Source Book):

"And in the shock of the battle the men of the North seemed like a seathat cannot be moved. Firmly they stood, one close to another, formingas it were a bulwark of ice; and with great blows of their swords theyhewed down the Arabs. Drawn up in a band around their chief, thepeople of the Austrasians carried all before them. Their tirelesshands drove their swords down to the breasts of the foe."

"Both accounts agree that the Umayyad forces had broken into thesquare and were trying to kill Martel, whose liege men had surroundedhim and would not be broken, when a trick Charles had planned beforethe battle bore fruit beyond his wildest dreams. Both Western andMuslim accounts of the battle agree that sometime during the height ofthe fighting, with the battle still in grave doubt, scouts sent byMartel to the Muslim camp began freeing prisoners. Fearing loss oftheir plunder, a large portion of the Muslim army abandoned the battleand returned to camp to protect their spoils. In attempting to stopwhat appeared to be a retreat, Abdul Rahman was surrounded and killedby the Franks, and what started as a ruse ended up a real retreat, asthe Umayyad army fled the field that day. The Franks resumed theirphalanx, and rested in place through the night, believing the battlewould resume at dawn of the following morning.

"The next day, when the Umayyad army did not renew the battle, theFranks feared an ambush. Charles at first believed the Muslims wereattempting to lure him down the hill and into the open, a tactic hewould resist at all costs. Only after extensive reconnaissance byFrankish soldiers of the Umayyad camp?which by both accounts had beenso hastily abandoned that even the tents remained, as the Umayyadforces headed back to Iberia with what spoils remained that they couldcarry?was it discovered that the Muslims had retreated during thenight. As the Arab Chronicles would later reveal, the generals fromthe different parts of the Caliphate, Berbers, Arabs, Persians andmany more, had been unable to agree on a leader to take Abd erRahman's place as Emir, or even to agree on a commander to lead themthe following day. Only the Emir, Abd er Rahman, had a Fatwa from theCaliph, and thus absolute authority over the faithful under arms. Withhis death, and

with the varied nationalities and ethnicities presentin an army drawn from all over the Caliphate, politics, racial andethnic bias, and personalities reared their head. The inability of thebickering generals to select anyone to lead resulted in the wholesalewithdrawal of an army that might have been able to resume the battleand defeat the Franks.

"Martel's ability to have Abd er Rahman killed through a clever rusehe had carefully planned to cause confusion, at the battle's apex, andhis years spent rigorously training his men, combined to do what wasthought impossible: Martel's Franks, virtually all heavy infantry, withstood both mailed heavy cavalry with 20 foot lances, andbow-wielding light cavalry, without the aid of bows or firearms.[4]This was a feat of war almost unheard of in medieval history, a featwhich even the heavily armored Roman legions proved themselvesincapable of against the Parthians,[14] and left Martel a unique placein history as the savior of Europe[15] and a brilliant general in anage not known for its generalship.

After Tours

"In the subsequent decade, Charles led the Frankish army against theeastern duchies, Bavaria and Alemannia, and the southern duchies, Aquitaine and Provence. He dealt with the ongoing conflict with the Frisians and Saxons to his northeast with some success, but fullconquest of the Saxons and their incorporation into the Frankishempire would wait for his grandson Charlemagne, primarily becauseMartel concentrated the bulk of his efforts against Muslim expansion.

"So instead of concentrating on conquest to his east, he continued expanding Frankish authority in the west, and denying the Emirate of CÛrdoba a foothold in Europe beyond Al-Andalus. After his victory at Tours, Martel continued on in campaigns in 736 and 737 to drive other Muslim armies from bases in Gaul after they again attempted to get afoothold in Europe beyond Al-Andalus.

Wars from 732-737

"Between his victory of 732 and 735, Charles reorganized the kingdomof Burgundy, replacing the counts and dukes with his loyal supporters, thus strengthening his hold on power. He was forced, by the venturesof Radbod, duke of the Frisians (719-734), son of the Duke Aldegiselwho had accepted the missionaries Willibrord and Boniface, to invadeindependence-minded Frisia again in 734. In that year, he slew theduke, who had expelled the Christian missionaries, in the battle ofthe Boarn and so wholly subjugated the populace (he destroyed everypagan shrine) that the people were peaceful for twenty years after.

"The dynamic changed in 735 because of the death of Odo the Great, whohad been forced to acknowledge, albeit reservedly, the suzerainty of Charles in 719. Though Charles wished to unite the duchy directly tohimself and went there to elicit the proper homage of the Aquitainians, the nobility proclaimed Odo's son, Hunold, whose dukedom Charles recognised when the Umayyads invaded Provence the next year, and who equally was forced to acknowledge Charles as overlord as hehad no hope of holding off the Muslims alone.

"This naval Arab invasion was headed by Abdul Rahman's son. It landedin Narbonne in 736 and moved at once to reinforce Arles and moveinland. Charles temporarily put the conflict with Hunold on hold, anddescended on the ProvenÁal strongholds of the Umayyads. In 736, heretook Montfrin and Avignon, and Arles and Aix-en-Provence with thehelp of Liutprand, King of the Lombards. NÓmes, Agde, and BÈziers, held by Islam since 725, fell to him and their fortresses weredestroyed. He crushed one Umayyad army at Arles, as that force salliedout of the city, and then took the city itself by a direct and brutalfrontal attack, and burned it to the ground to prevent its use againas a stronghold for Umayyad expansion. He then moved swiftly anddefeated a mighty host outside of Narbonnea at the River Berre, butfailed to take the city. Military historians believe he could havetaken it, had he chosen to tie up all his resources to do so?but hebelieved his life was coming to a close,

and he had much work to do toprepare for his sons to take control of the Frankish realm. A directfrontal assault, such as took Arles, using rope ladders and rams, plusa few catapults, simply was not sufficient to take Narbonne withouthorrific loss of life for the Franks, troops Martel felt he could notlose. Nor could he spare years to starve the city into submission, years he needed to set up the administration of an empire his heirswould reign over. He left Narbonne therefore, isolated and surrounded, and his son would return to liberate it for Christianity. Provence, however, he successfully rid of its foreign occupiers, and crushed allforeign armies able to advance Islam further.

"Notable about these campaigns was Charles' incorporation, for thefirst time, of heavy cavalry with stirrups to augment his phalanx. Hisability to coordinate infantry and cavalry veterans was unequaled inthat era and enabled him to face superior numbers of invaders. and todecisively defeat them again and again. Some historians believe theBattle against the main Muslim force at the River Berre, nearNarbonne, in particular was as important a victory for ChristianEurope as Tours. In Barbarians, Marauders, and Infidels, AntonioSantosuosso, Professor Emeritus of History at the University ofWestern Ontario, and considered an expert historian in the era indispute, puts forth an interesting modern opinion on Martel. Tours, and the subsequent campaigns against Rahman's son in 736-737. Santosuosso presents a compelling case that these later defeats of invading Muslim armies were at least as important as Tours in theirdefence of Western Christendom and the preservation of Westernmonasticism, the monasteries of which were the centers of learningwhich ultimately led Europe out of her Middle Ages. He also makes acompelling argument, after studying the Arab histories of the period, that these were clearly armies of invasion, sent by the Caliph notjust to avenge Tours, but to begin the conquest of Christian Europeand bring it into the Caliphate.

"Further, unlike his father at Tours, Rahman's son in 736-737 knewthat the Franks were a real power, and that Martel personally was aforce to be reckoned with. He had no intention of allowing Martel tocatch him unawares and dictate the time and place of battle, as hisfather had, and concentrated instead on seizing a substantial portionof the coastal plains around Narbonne in 736 and heavily reinforcedArles as he advanced inland. They planned from there to move from cityto city, fortifying as they went, and if Martel wished to stop themfrom making a permanent enclave for expansion of the Caliphate, hewould have to come to them, in the open, where, he, unlike his father, would dictate the place of battle. All worked as he had planned, untilMartel arrived, albeit more swiftly than the Moors believed he couldcall up his entire army. Unfortunately for Rahman's son, however, hehad overestimated the time it would take Martel to develop heavycavalry equal to that of the Muslims. The Caliphate believed it wouldtake a generation, but Martel managed it in five short years. Preparedto face the Frankish phalanx, the Muslims were totally unprepared toface a mixed force of heavy cavalry and infantry in a phalanx. Thus, Charles again championed Christianity and halted Muslim expansion intoEurope, as the window was closing on Islamic ability to do so. Thesedefeats, plus those at the hands of Leo in Anatolia were the lastgreat attempt at expansion by the Umayyad Caliphate before thedestruction of the dynasty at the Battle of the Zab, and the rendingof the Caliphate forever, especially the utter destruction of the Umayyad army at River Berre near Narbonne in 737.

Interregnum

"In 737, at the tail end of his campaigning in Provence and Septimania, the king, Theuderic IV, died. Martel, titling himselfmaior domus and princeps et dux Francorum, did not appoint a new kingand nobody acclaimed one. The throne lay vacant until Martel's death. As the historian Charles Oman says (The Dark Ages, pg 297), "he carednot for name or style so long as the real power was in his hands."

"Gibbon has said Martel was "content with the titles of Mayor or Dukeof the Franks, but he deserved to become the father of a line ofkings," which he did. Gibbon also says of him, "in the public danger,he was summoned by the voice of his country."

"The interregnum, the final four years of Charles' life, was morepeaceful than most of it had

been and much of his time was now spenton administrative and organisational plans to create a more efficientstate. Though, in 738, he compelled the Saxons of Westphalia to do himhomage and pay tribute, and in 739 checked an uprising in Provence, the rebels being under the leadership of Maurontus. Charles set aboutintegrating the outlying realms of his empire into the Frankishchurch. He erected four dioceses in Bavaria (Salzburg, Regensburg, Freising, and Passau) and gave them Boniface as archbishop and metropolitan over all Germany east of the Rhine, with his seat atMainz. Boniface had been under his protection from 723 on; indeed thesaint himself explained to his old friend, Daniel of Winchester, thatwithout it he could neither administer his church, defend his clergy,nor prevent idolatry. It was Boniface who had defended Charles moststoutly for his deeds in seizing ecclesiastical lands to pay his armyin the days leading to Tours, as one doing what he must to defendChristianity. In 739, Pope Gregory III begged Charles for his aidagainst Liutprand, but Charles was loathe to fight his onetime allyand ignored the Papal plea. Nonetheless, the Papal applications for Frankish protection showed how far Martel had come from the days hewas tottering on excommunication, and set the stage for his son andgrandson literally to rearrange Italy to suit the Papacy, and protectit.

Death

Tomb of Charles Martel, Basilique Saint-Denis.

"Charles Martel died on October 22, 741, at Quierzy-sur-Oise in whatis today the Aisne dèpartement in the Picardy region of France. He wasburied at Saint Denis Basilica in Paris. His territories were dividedamong his adult sons a year earlier: to Carloman he gave Austrasia andAlemannia (with Bavaria as a vassal), to Pippin the Younger Neustriaand Burgundy (with Aquitaine as a vassal), and to Grifo nothing, though some sources indicate he intended to give him a strip of landbetween Neustria and Austrasia.

"Gibbon called him "the hero of the age" and declared "Christendom ...delivered ... by the genius and good fortune of one man, CharlesMartel." A strong argument can be made that Gibbon was correct on bothcounts.

Legacy

"At the beginning of Charles Martel's career, he had many internalopponents and felt the need to appoint his own kingly claimant, Clotaire IV. By his end, however, the dynamics of rulership in Franciahad changed, no hallowed Meroving was needed, neither for defence norlegitimacy: Charles divided his realm between his sons withoutopposition (though he ignored his young son Bernard). In between, hestrengthened the Frankish state by consistently defeating, throughsuperior generalship, the host of hostile foreign nations which besetit on all sides, including the heathen Saxons, which his grandsonCharlemagne would fully subdue, and Moors, which he halted on a pathof continental domination.

"Though he never cared about titles, his son Pippin did, and finallyasked the Pope "who should be King, he who has the title, or he whohas the power?" The Pope, highly dependent on Frankish armies for hisindependence from Lombard and Byzantine power (the Byzantine emperorstill considered himself to be the only legitimate "Roman Emperor", and thus, ruler of all of the provinces of the ancient empire, whether recognised or not), declared for "he who had the power" and immediately crowned Pippin.

"Decades later, in 800, Pippin's son Charlemagne was crowned emperorby the Pope, further extending the principle by delegitimising thenominal authority of the Byzantine emperor in the Italian peninsula(which had, by then, shrunk to encompass little more than Apulia andCalabria at best) and ancient Roman Gaul, including the Iberianoutposts Charlemagne had established in the Marca Hispanica across thePyrenees, what today forms Catalonia. In short, though the ByzantineEmperor claimed authority over all the old Roman Empire, as thelegitimate "Roman" Emperor, it was simply not reality. The bulk of theWestern Roman Empire had come under Carolingian rule, the ByzantineEmperor having had almost no authority in the West since the sixthcentury, though Charlemagne, a

consummate politician, preferred toavoid an open breach with Constantinople. An institution unique inhistory was being born: the Holy Roman Empire. Though the sardonicVoltaire ridiculed its nomenclature, saying that the Holy Roman Empirewas "neither Holy, nor Roman, nor an Empire," it constituted anenormous political power for a time, especially under the Saxon andSalian dynasties and, to a lesser, extent, the Hohenstaufen. It lasteduntil 1806, by then it was a nonentity. Though his grandson became itsfirst emperor, the "empire" such as it was, was largely born duringthe reign of Charles Martel.

"Charles was that rarest of commodities in the Middle Ages: abrilliant strategic general, who also was a tactical commander parexcellence, able in the heat of battle to adapt his plans to his foe'sforces and movement? and amazingly, to defeat them repeatedly, especially when, as at Tours, they were far superior in men andweaponry, and at Berre and Narbonne, when they were superior innumbers of fighting men. Charles had the last quality which definesgenuine greatness in a military commander: he foresaw the dangers ofhis foes, and prepared for them with care; he used ground, time, place, and fierce loyalty of his troops to offset his foe's superiorweaponry and tactics; third, he adapted, again and again, to the enemyon the battlefield, shifting to compensate for the unforeseen andunforeseeable.

"Gibbon, whose tribute to Martel has been noted, was not alone amongthe great mid era historians in fervently praising Martel; ThomasArnold ranks the victory of Charles Martel even higher than thevictory of Arminius in the Battle of the Teutoburg Forest in itsimpact on all of modern history:

"Charles Martel's victory at Tours was among those signal deliveranceswhich have affected for centuries the happiness of mankind." [Historyof the later Roman Commonwealth, vol ii. p. 317.]

"German historians are especially ardent in their praise of Martel andin their belief that he saved Europe and Christianity from thenall-conquering Islam, praising him also for driving back the ferociousSaxon barbarians on his borders. Schlegel speaks of this " mightyvictory " in terms of fervent gratitude, and tells how " the arm of Charles Martel saved and delivered the Christian nations of the Westfrom the deadly grasp of all-destroying Islam", and Ranke points out.

"as one of the most important epochs in the history of the world, thecommencement of the eighth century, when on the one side Mohammedanismthreatened to overspread Italy and Gaul, and on the other the ancientidolatry of Saxony and Friesland once more forced its way across theRhine. In this peril of Christian institutions, a youthful prince ofGermanic race, Karl Martell, arose as their champion, maintained themwith all the energy which the necessity for self-defence calls forth, and finally extended them into new regions."

"In 1922 and 1923, Belgian historian Henri Pirenne published a seriesof papers, known collectively as the "Pirenne Thesis", which remaininfluential to this day. Pirenne held that the Roman Empire continued,in the Frankish realms, up until the time of the Arab conquests in the7th century. These conquests disrupted Mediterranean trade routesleading to a decline in the European economy. Such continueddisruption would have meant complete disaster except for CharlesMartel's halting of Islamic expansion into Europe from 732 on. What hemanaged to preserve led to the Carolingian Renaissance, named afterhim.

"Professor Santosuosso[7] perhaps sums up Martel best when he talksabout his coming to the rescue of his Christian allies in Provence, and driving the Muslims back into the Iberian Peninsula forever in themid and late 730's::

"After assembling forces at Saragossa the Muslims entered Frenchterritory in 735, crossed the River Rhone and captured and lootedArles. From there they struck into the heart of Provence, ending withthe capture of Avignon, despite strong resistance. Islamic forces remained in French territory for about four years, carrying raids to Lyon, Burgundy, and Piedmont. Again Charles Martel came to the rescue, reconquering most of the lost territories

in two campaigns in 736 and 739, except for the city of Narbonne, which finally fell in 759. Thesecond (Muslim) expedition was probably more dangerous than the first Poiters. Yet its failure (at Martel's hands) put an end to any serious Muslim expedition across the Pyrenees (forever)."

"In the Netherlands, a vital part of the Carolingian Empire, and in the low countries, he is considered a hero. In France and Germany, heis revered as a hero of epic proportions.

"Skilled as an administrator and ruler, Martel organized what wouldbecome the medieval European government: a system of fiefdoms, loyalto barons, counts, dukes and ultimately the King, or in his case, simply maior domus and princeps et dux Francorum. ('First or DominantMayor and Prince of the Franks') His close coordination of church withstate began the medieval pattern for such government. He created whatwould become the first western standing army since the fall of Rome byhis maintaining a core of loyal veterans around which he organized thenormal feudal levies. In essence, he changed Europe from a horde ofbarbarians fighting with one another, to an organized state.

Beginning of the Reconquista

"Although it took another two generations for the Franks to drive allthe Arab garrisons out of Septimania and across the Pyrenees, CharlesMartel's halt of the invasion of French soil turned the tide ofIslamic advances, and the unification of the Frankish kingdoms underMartel, his son Pippin the Younger, and his grandson Charlemagnecreated a western power which prevented the Emirate of CÛrdoba fromexpanding over the Pyrenees. Martel, who in 732 was on the verge of excommunication, instead was recognised by the Church as its paramountdefender. Pope Gregory II wrote him more than once, asking hisprotection and aid,[16] and he remained, till his death, fixated onstopping the Muslims. Martel's son Pippin the Younger kept hisfather's promise and returned and took Narbonne by siege in 759, andhis grandson, Charlemagne, actually established the Marca Hispanicaacross the Pyrenees in part of what today is Catalonia, reconquering Girona in 785 and Barcelona in 801. This sector of what is now Spainwas then called "The Moorish Marches" by the Carolingians, who saw itas not just a check on the Muslims in Hispania, but the beginning oftaking the entire country back. This formed a permanent buffer zoneagainst Islam, which became the basis, along with the King of Asturias, named Pelayo (718-737, who started his fight against the Moors in the mountains of Covadonga, 722) and his descendants, for theReconquista until all of the Muslims were eradicated from the IberianPeninsula.

Military legacy

Heavy infantry and permanent army

"Victor Davis Hanson argues that Charles Martel launched 'the thousandyear struggle' between European heavy infantry, and Muslimcavalry.[17] Of course, Martel is also the father of heavy cavalry inEurope, as he integrated heavy armoured cavalry into his forces. Thiscreation of a real army would continue all through his reign, and thatof his son, Pepin the Short, until his Grandson, Charlemagne, wouldpossess the world's largest and finest army since the peak ofRome.[18] Equally, the Muslims used infantry - indeed, at the Battleof Toulouse most of their forces were light infantry. It was not tillAbdul Rahman Al Ghafiqi brought a huge force of Arab and Berbercavalry with him when he assumed the emirate of Al-Andulus that theMuslim forces became primarily cavalry.

"Martel's army was known primarily for being the first standingpermanent army since Rome's fall in 476, and for the core of tough, seasoned heavy infantry who stood so stoutly at Tours. The Frankishinfantry wore as much as 70 pounds of armour, including their heavywooden shields with an iron boss. Standing close together, and welldisciplined, they were unbreakable at Tours.[19] Martel had taken themoney and property he had seized from the church and paid local noblesto supply trained ready infantry year round. This was the core ofveterans who served with him on a permanent basis, and as Hanson says, "provided a steady supply of dependable troops year around." This wasthe first

permanent army since Rome. "[18] While other Germaniccultures, such as the Visigoths or Vandals, had a proud martialtradition, and the Franks themselves had an annual muster of militaryaged men, such tribes were only able to field armies around plantingand harvest. It was Martel's creation of a system whereby he couldcall on troops year round that gave the Carolingians the firststanding and permanent army since Rome's fall in the west.

"And, first and foremost, Charles Martel will always be remembered forhis victory at Tours. Creasy argues that the Martel victory 'preservedthe relics of ancient and the germs of modern civilizations.' Gibboncalled those eight days in 732, the week leading up to Tours, and thebattle itself, 'the events that rescued our ancestors of Britain, andour neighbors of Gaul [France], from the civil and religious yoke ofthe Koran.' Paul Akers, in his editorial on Charles Martel, says forthose who value Christianity 'you might spare a minute sometime today, and every October, to say a silent thank you to a gang of half-savageGermans and especially to their leader, Charles The HammerMartel.'[20]

"In his vision of what would be necessary for him to withstand alarger force and superior technology (the Muslim horsemen had adoptedthe armour and accutraments of heavy cavalry from the Sassanid WarriorClass, which made the first knights possible), he, daring not to sendhis few horsemen against the Islamic cavalry, used his army to fightin a formation used by the ancient Greeks to withstand superiornumbers and weapons by discipline, courage, and a willingness to diefor their cause: a phalanx. He had trained a core of his men yearround, using mostly Church funds, and some had literally been with himsince his earliest days after his father's death. It was this hardcore of disciplined veterans that won the day for him at Tours. Hansonemphasizes that Martel's greatest accomplishment as a General may havebeen his ability to keep his troops under control. This absolute irondiscipline saved his infantry from the fate of so many infantrymen -such as the Saxons at Hastings - who broke formation and wereslaughtered piecemeal. After using this infantry force by itself atTours, he studied the foe's forces and further adapted to them,initially using stirrups and saddles recovered from the foe's deadhorses, and armour from the dead horsemen.

Development of heavy cavalry

"After 732, he began the integration into his army of heavy cavalry, using the armour and accoutrements of heavy armoured horsemen, training his infantry to fight in conjunction with cavalry, a tactic which stood him in good stead during his campaigns of 736-737, especially at the Battle of Narbonne. His incorporation of heavy armoured cavalry into the western forces created the first "knights" in the west.

Brilliant generalship

"Martel earned his reputation for brilliant generalship, in an agegenerally bereft of same, by his ability to use what he had and byintegrating new ideas and technology. As a consequence, he wasundefeated from 716 to his death against a wide range of opponents, including the Muslim cavalry (at that time, the world's best) and thefierce barbarian Saxons on his own borders -- and all this in spite of virtually always being outnumbered. He was the only general in the Middle Ages in Europe to use the eastern battle technique of feignedretreat. His ability to attack where he was least expected and when hewas least expected was legendary. The process of the development of the famous chivalry of France continued in the Edict of Pistres of hisgreat-great-grandson and namesake Charles the Bald.

"The defeats Martel inflicted on the Muslims were vital in that thesplit in the Islamic world left the Caliphate unable to mount an allout attack on Europe via its Iberian stronghold after 750. His abilityto meet this challenge, until the Muslims self-destructed, isconsidered by most historians to be of macrohistorical importance, andis why Dante writes of him in Heaven as one of the "Defenders of theFaith." After 750, the door to western Europe, the Iberian emirate, was in the hands of the Umayyads, while most of the remainder of theMuslim world came under the control of the Abbasids, making aninvasion of Europe a logistical

impossibility while the two Muslimempires battled. This put off Islamic invasion of Europe until the Turkish conquest of the Balkans half a millennium later.

"H. G. Wells says of Charles Martel's decisive defeat of the Muslimsin his "Short History of the World:

"The Moslim when they crossed the Pyrenees in 720 found this Frankishkingdom under the practical rule of Charles Martel, the Mayor of thePalace of a degenerate descendant of Clovis, and experienced thedecisive defeat of Poitiers (732) at his hands. This Charles Martelwas practically overlord of Europe north of the Alps from the Pyreneesto Hungary."[21]

John H. Haaren says in ?Famous Men of the Middle Ages?

?'The battle of Tours, or Poitiers, as it should be called, isregarded as one of the decisive battles of the world. It decided that Christians, and not Moslems, should be the ruling power in Europe. Charles Martel is especially celebrated as the hero of this battle.'

"Just as his grandson, Charlemagne, would become famous for his swiftand unexpected movements in his campaigns, Charles was legendary fornever doing what his enemies forecast he would do. It was this abilityto do the unforeseen, and move far faster than his opponents believedhe could, that characterized the military career of Charles Martel.

"It is notable that the Northmen did not begin their European raidsuntil after the death of Martel's grandson, Charlemagne. They had thenaval capacity to begin those raids at least three generationsearlier, but chose not to challenge Martel, his son Pippin, or hisgrandson, Charlemagne. This was probably fortunate for Martel, whodespite his enormous gifts, would probably not have been able to repelthe Vikings in addition to the Muslims, Saxons, and everyone else hedefeated. However, it is notable that again, despite the ability to doso, (the Danes had constructed defenses to defend from counterattacksby land, and had the ability to launch their wholesale sea raids asearly as Martel's reign), they chose not to challenge Charles Martel.

Conclusion

"J.M. Roberts says of Charles Martel in his note on the Carolingianson page 315 of his 1993 History of the World:

'It (the Carolingian line) produced Charles Martel, the soldier whoturned the Arabs back at Tours, and the supporter of Saint Boniface, the Evangelizer of Germany. This is a considerable double mark to haveleft on the history of Europe.'

"Gibbon perhaps summarized Charles Martel's legacy most eloquently: 'na laborious administration of 24 years he had restored and supported the dignity of the throne..by the activity of a warrior who in thesame campaign could display his banner on the Elbe, the Rhone, and shores of the ocean.'

Family and children

"Charles Martel married twice:

"His first wife was Rotrude of Treves, (690-724) (daughter of St.Leutwinus, Bishop of Treves). They had the following children:

- * Hiltrud (d. 754), married Odilo I, Duke of Bavaria
- * Carloman
- * Landrade (Landres), married Sigrand, Count of Hesbania
- * Auda, Aldana, or Alane, married Thierry IV, Count of Autun and Toulouse
- * Pippin the Short

"His second wife was Swanhild. They had the following child: * Grifo "Charles Martel also had a mistress, Ruodhaid. They had the followingchildren: * Bernard (b. before 732-787) * Hieronymus * Remigius, archbishop of Rouen (d. 771) * lan (d. 783) Sources: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charles Martel 1. ^ e. the Fat so. 2001. The Encyclopedia of World History 2. ^ "Charles Martel". Catholic Encyclopedia. (1913). New York:Robert Appleton Company. 3. ^ More information can be found in Louis deMartelly's book,"Charles Martel and theLance of Destiny." [1] 4. ^ a b Battle of Tours - Britannica Online Encyclopedia 5. ^ Fouracre, John. ?The Age of Charles Martel 6. ^ Davis1999, p. 104. 7. ^ a b Santosuosso, Anthony . Barbarians, Marauders, andInfidels2004 8. ^ Poke's Fifteen Decisive Battles 9. ^ An Islamic Europe?, Tomorrow's World, Volume 8, No 3.; AnIslamic Europe? 10. ^ Watson, William, E. (1993). The Battle of Tours-PoitiersRevisited. Providence: Studies in Western Civilization v.2 n.1. 11. ^ Barbero, 2004, p. 10. 12. ^ Mastnak, 2002, pp. 99-100. 13. A Hanson, Victor Davis, 2001, p. 167. 14. ^ Cassius Dio ? Book 40 15. ^ Home Page 16. ^ Medieval Sourcebook: Pope Gregory II - Appeal to Charles Martel 17. A Hanson, 2001, p. 141-166. 18. ^ a b Bennett, Michael. Fighting Techniques of the Medieval World 19. A Hanson, 2001, p. 154. 20. A Fredericksburg.com - Why Islam didn't conquer the world I vs. From the plains of medieval France to the modern Mideast, militantMuslims test Christians and Jews 21. ^ 45. The Development of Latin Christendom, Wells, H.G. 1922. AShort History of the World Gor Thorrasson was born about 600 AD. 212 867 840 0. 212 Cenred (son of Ceolwald and UNNAMED) was born in 644 AD in Wessex, England. He 872 married **UNNAMED**. 396 8. 212 **UNNAMED** was born in 648 AD. 872 396 Notes for Cenred: 9. Cenred did not rule, though is was the father of King Ina (or Ine) and Ingild, Prince of

Wessex.

Source: 'Ancestral Roots of Certain American Colonists Who Came to America before 1700,' seventh edition, by Frederick Lewis Weis, additions and Corrections by Walter Lee Sheppard, Jr., Genealogical Publishing Company, Inc. Baltimore, Maryland, 1992, Library of Congress Card #92-73801, ISBN 0-8063-1367-6, Page 1.

Generation 48

Pipin (son of Ansegisel and St. De Landen-Brabant) was born in 643 AD in Austrasia, France. He died on 16 Dec 714 AD in Austrasia, France. He married **Alpaide Chalpaide**.

Alpaide Chalpaide was born in 657 AD in Paris, France. She died in 692 AD in Austrasia, France.

Notes for Pipin:

Pipin was the 14th great grandfather of the husband of 14th great grandmother of Edward Southworth, the husband of my seventh great grandmother, Alice Carpenter.

"Pepin (also Pippin, Pipin, or Peppin) of Herstal (c. 635? 16 December 714) was the Mayor of the Palace of Austrasia from 680 to his death and of Neustria and Burgundy from 687 to 695. He was also the first mayor of the palace to "reign" as Duke and Prince of the Franks and he by far overshadowed the Merovingian rois fain Eants.

"Pepin, sometimes called Pepin II and Pepin the Middle was the grandson and namesake of Pepin I the Elder by the marriage of Pepin's daughter, Begga, and Ansegisel, son of Arnulf of Metz. That marriage, united the two houses of the Pippinids and the Arnulfings which, created what would be called the Carolingian dynasty. ,Pepin II was, probably born in Herstal (HÈristal), modern Belgium (where his centre, of power lay), whence his by, name (sometimes "of Heristal").

"As mayor of Austrasia, Pepin and Martin, the duke of Laon, fought the Neustrian mayor Ebroin, who had designs on all Frankland. Ebroindefeated the Austrasians at Lucofao (Bois-du-Fay, near Laon) and cameclose to uniting all the Franks under his rule; however, he wasassassinated in 681, the victim of a combined attack by his numerousenemies. Pepin immediately made peace with his successor, Waratton.

"However, Waratton's successor, Berthar, and the Neustrian kingTheuderic III, who, since 679, was nominal king of all the Franks,made war on Austrasia. The king and his mayor were decisively defeated the Battle of Tertry (Textrice) in the Vermandois in 687. Bertharand Theuderic withdrew themselves to Paris, where Pepin followed andeventually forced on them a peace treaty with the condition thatBerthar leave his office. Pepin was created mayor in all threeFrankish kingdoms (Austrasia, Neustria, and Burgundy) and begancalling himself Duke and Prince of the Franks (dux et princepsFrancorum). In the ensuing quarrels, Berthar killed his mother-in-lawAnsfled and fled. His wife Anstrude married Pepin's eldest son Drogo,Duke of Champagne, and Pepin's place in Neustria was secured.

"Over the next several years, Pepin subdued the Alemanni, Frisians, and Franconians, bringing them within the Frankish sphere ofinfluence. He also began the evangelisation of Germany. In 695, heplaced Drogo in the Burgundian mayorship and his other son, Grimoald, in the Neustrian one.

"Around 670, Pepin had married Plectrude, who had inheritedsubstantial estates in the Moselle region. She was the mother of Drogoof Champagne and Grimoald, both of whom died before their father. However, Pepin also had a mistress named Alpaida (or Chalpaida) whobore him two more sons: Charles and Childebrand. Just before Pepin'sdeath, Plectrude convinced him to disinherit his bastards in favour ofhis grandson, Theudoald, the son of Grimoald, who was still young (andamenable to Plectrude's control). Pepin died suddenly at

an old age on16 December 714, at Jupille (in modern Belgium). His legitimategrandchildren claimed themselves to be Pepin's true successors and,with the help of Plectrude, tried to maintain the position of mayor ofthe palace after Pepin's death. However, Charles had gained favoramong the Austrasians, primarily for his military prowess and abilityto keep them well supplied with booty from his conquests. Despite theefforts of Plectrude to silence her rival's child by imprisoning him,he became the sole mayor of the palace --and de facto ruler ofFrancia-- after a civil war which lasted for more than three yearsafter Pepin's death."

Sources: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pepin_of_Herstal

- * Oman, Charles. The Dark Ages 476?918. London: Rivingtons, 1914.
- * Wallace-Hadrill, J. M., translator. The Fourth Book of the Chronicleof Fredegar with its Continuations. Connecticut: Greenwood Press,1960.
- * Bachrach, Bernard S., translator. Liber Historiae Francorum. 1973.

Ceolwald (son of Cutha and UNNAMED) was born in 622 AD. He married UNNAMED.

UNNAMED was born in 626 AD.

Notes for Ceolwald:

St Deusdedit I began his reign as Catholic Pope on October 19, 625 AD.

Source:http://www.scopesys.com/cgi-bin/today2.cgi

Generation 49

Ansegisel (son of Arnulf De Heristal and Ode De Heristal) was born in 618 AD in Austrasia, France. He died in 691 AD in Austrasia, France. He married **St. De Landen-Brabant**.

St. De Landen-Brabant (daughter of Pepin and Itta Idoberg) was born in 624 AD in Landen, Brabant, Belgium. She died on 17 Dec 693 AD in Austrasia, France.

Notes for Ansegisel:

Ansegisel (also Ansgise) (also Ansegus) (alsoAnchises)(ca 602 or 610 ?murdered before 679 or 662) was the son of Saint Arnulf, bishop ofMetz and his wife Saint Doda. He served King Sigbert III of Austrasia(634-656) as a duke (Latin dux, a military leader) and domesticus. Hewas killed sometime before 679, slain in a feud by his enemy Gundewin.

Marriage and issue

He married sometime after 639 to Saint Begga, the daughter of Pepin ofLanden.

They had the following children:

- * Pippin II (635 or 640-December 16, 714), mayor of the palace of Austrasia
- * Martin, count of Laon
- * Clotilda of Heristal (650-699), married King Theodoric III of Neustria

Sources: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ansegisel

* Les ancItres de Charlemagne, 1989, Christian Settipani

This biography of a European noble is a stub. You can help Wikipediaby expanding it.

Notes for St. De Landen-Brabant:

Saint Begga (also Begue) (615? December 17, 693) was the daughter of Pepin of Landen, mayor of the palace of Austrasia, and his wife Itta. On the death of her husband, she took the veil, founded severalchurches, and built a convent at Andenne on the Meuse River (Andennesur Meuse) where she spent the rest of her days as abbess.

Some hold that the Beguine movement which came to light in the 12thcentury was actually founded by St. Begga; and the church in thebeguinage of Lier, Belgium, has a statue of St. Begga standing abovethe inscription: St. Begga, our foundress. The Lier beguinage datesfrom the 13th century. More than likely, however, the Beguines derived their name from that of the priest Lambert le Begue, under whose protection the witness and ministry of the Beguines flourished.

[edit] Marriage and issue

She married Ansegisel, son of Arnulf, Bishop of Metz, and had threechildren:

- * Pepin of Heristal
- * Martin of Laon
- * Clotilda of Heristal, who was married to Theuderic III of the Franks

Veneration

She is commemorated as a saint on her feast days, September 6 and December 17.

References: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Begga

- * Attwater, Donald and Catherine Rachel John. The Penguin Dictionaryof Saints. 3rd edition. New York: Penguin Books, 1993. ISBN0140513124.
- * Les ancItres de Charlemagne, 1989, Christian Settipani

Cutha (son of Cuthwine and UNNAMED) was born in 600 AD in Wessex, England. He married **UNNAMED**.

UNNAMED was born in 604 AD.

Notes for Cutha:

This Cutha did not rule.

Source:?Ancestral Roots of Certain American Colonists Who Came toAmerica before 1700,? seventh editon, by Frederick Lewis Weis,additions and Corrections by Walter Lee Sheppard, Jr., GenealogicalPublishing Company, Inc. Baltimore, Maryland, 1992, Library ofCongress Card #92-73801, ISBN 0-8063-1367-6, Page 1

Generation 50

Arnulf De Heristal (son of Arnoldus and Oda) was born in 582 AD in Metz, Austrasia, France. He died on 16 Aug 640 AD in Metz, Austrasia, France. He married **Ode De Heristal** about 596 AD.

Ode De Heristal was born in 586 AD in Saxony, France. She died in 645 AD in Austrasia, France.

Notes for Arnulf De Heristal:

Saint Arnulf of Metz was born of an important Frankish family at anuncertain date around

582. In his younger years he was called to the Merovingian court to serve king Theudebert II (595-612) of Austrasia and as dux at the Scheldt. Later he became bishop of Metz. During his life he was attracted to religious life and he retired as a monk. After his death he was canonized as a saint. In the French language heis also known as Arnoul or Arnoulf.

Arnulf gave distinguished service at the Austrasian court underTheudebert II After the death of Theudebert in 612 he was made bishopof Metz. The rule of Austrasia came into the hands of Brunhilda, thegrandmother of Theudebert, who ruled also in Burgundy in the name ofher great-grandchildren. In 613 Arnulf joined his politics with Pippinof Landen and led the opposition of Frankish nobles against QueenBrunhilda. The revolt led to her overthrow, torture, and eventualexecution, and the subsequent reunification of Frankish lands underChlothachar II.

Chlothachar later made his son Dagobert I king of Austrasia and heruled with the help of his advisor Arnulf. Not satisfied with hisposition, as a bishop he was involved in the murder of Chrodoald in624, an important leader of the Frankish Agilolfings-family and aprotÈgÈ of Dagobert.

From 623 (with Pippin of Landen, then the Mayor of the Palace), Arnulfwas an adviser to Dagobert I. He retired around 628 to a hermitage ata mountain site in the Vosges, to realize his lifelong resolution tobecome a monk and a hermit. His friend Romaric, whose parents werekilled by Brunhilda, had preceded him to the mountains and togetherwith Amatus had already established Remiremont Abbey there. Arnulfsettled there, and remained there until his death twelve years later.

Arnulf was canonized as a saint by the Roman Catholic Church. Iniconography, he is portrayed with a rake in his hand and is oftenconfused in legend with Arnold of Soissons, who is a patron saint ofbrewing.

Shortly after 800, most likely in Metz, a brief genealogy of the Carolingians was compiled, modelled in style after the genealogy of Jesus in the New Testament. According to this source, Arnulf's fatherwas a certain Arnoald, who in turn was the son of a nobilissimus Ansbert and Blithilt (or Blithilde), an alleged and otherwise unattested daughter of Chlothar I. This late attribution of royal Merovingian descent at a time when the Carolingian dynasty was at the peak of its power contrasts clearly with the contemporary Vita Sancti Arnulfi's failure to mention any such a connection: The Vita, writtenshortly after the saint's death, merely states that he was of Francishancestry, from "sufficiently elevated and noble parentage, and veryrich in worldly goods"[1], without making any claims to royal blood. While modern historians generally dismiss the later Carolingian genealogy as spurious [2], it constitutes an important link in Christian Settipani's suggested line of descent from antiquity via Flavius Afranius Syagrius.

Arnulf was married ca 596 to a woman who later sources give the name of Dode or Doda, born ca 584 and daughter of Arnoald and wife Oda (?), and had children. Chlodulf of Metz was his oldest son, but more important is his second son Ansegisel, who married Begga daughter of Pepin I, Pippin of Landen.

Sources: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arnulf_of_Metz

- 1. ^ Vita Arnulfi c. 1, MG. SS. rer. Merov. 2, p. 432.
- 2. ^ Cf. R. Schieffer, Die Karolinger, Verlag W. Kohlhammer, Stuttgart/ Berlin / K^ln, 2nd ed., 1997.
- * Alban Butler's Lives of the Saints, edited, revised and supplementedby Thurston and Attwater. Christian Classics, Westminster, Maryland.
- * Christian Settipani La PrÈhistoire des CapÈtiens, PremiËre Partie.

Pepin was born in 585 AD in Austrasia, France. He died in 647 AD in Austrasia, France. He married **Itta Idoberg**.

Itta Idoberg was born in 598 AD. She died in 642 AD.

Cuthwine (son of Ceawlin) was born in 564 AD in Wessex, England. He married UNNAMED.

UNNAMED was born in 568 AD.

Notes for Cuthwine:

King Cuthwine and his father, Ceawlin, joined forces in fighting theBritons in 577. They slew three kings and took the three cities ofGlouchester, Cirenchester and Bath. King Cuthwine was killed inbattle in 584.

Source:?Ancestral Roots of Certain American Colonists Who Came to America before 1700,? seventh editon, by Frederick Lewis Weis, additions and Corrections by Walter Lee Sheppard, Jr., Genealogical Publishing Company, Inc. Baltimore, Maryland, 1992, Library of Congress Card #92-73801, ISBN 0-8063-1367-6, Page 1

That death date is subject to question, as the record we have of histhree children all were born after 584. We suspect the death date ispremature.

Generation 51

Arnoldus (son of Ausbert and Bertha) was born in 562 AD in Saxony, Germany. He died in 609 AD in Metz, Germany. He married **Oda** before 584 AD.

Oda was born about 564 AD.

Notes for Arnoldus:

Arnoldus or Arnual (ca 560 ? ca 611), was a Bishop of Metz between 601and 609 or 611, the successor of Agilulf, and a Margrave of Schelde.He was the son of Ansbertus, a Senator, and wife Blithilde.

Married before 584 to Oda (?), born ca 564, they were the parents of:

- * Saint Itta, married to Pippin of Landen
- * Dode or Doda, also called Dode of Metz, Dode of Old Saxony or Dodathe Saxon, who became a nun in 612 at Treves becoming called alsoClothilde of Treves, born ca 584, married ca 596 to Arnulf of Metz

Father of St. Arnulf of Metz

It is a subject of much debate among genealogists whether or notArnoald is the father of Arnulf bishop of Metz, who, according toChristian Settipani's early publications, is a perfect candidate. Settipani contradicts himself in newer publications, stating thatArnulf of Metz couldn't have been Arnoald's son given to no mention ofthe former having any royal blood. Further speculation indicateArnulf's father could be a Bodegisel, based on documents from oldFrankish legends. This statement is also uncertain. He states insteadthat Arnoald was Arnulf's father in law.

Ceawlin (son of Cynric) was born in 547 AD. He died in 593 AD.

Generation 52

Ausbert (son of Charibert and Ingoberge) was born in 536 AD in Old Saxony, Germany. He

died in 585 AD in Metz, Germany. He married Bertha.

Bertha was born in 541 AD in Paris, Seine, France. She died in 580 AD in Paris, Seine, France.

Cynric (son of Cerdic and UNNAMED) was born in 495 AD. He died in 560 AD.

Generation 53

Charibert (son of Clotarie and Ingund) was born in 520 AD in Paris, Seine, France. He died on 07 May 567 AD in France. He married **Ingoberge**.

Ingoberge was born in 520 AD. She died in 589 AD.

Cerdic (son of Elesa and UNNAMED) was born in 467 AD in Ancient Saxony, Northern Germany. He died in 534 AD in Wessex, England. He married **UNNAMED**.

UNNAMED was born in 471 AD.

Notes for Cerdic:

King Cerdic is the 50th great grandfather of my son-in-law, StevenOdis Westmoreland. King Cerdic is the 37th great grandfather ofEdward Southworth, who is the first husband of Alice Carpenter, myseven times great grandmother. Southworth is my 25th cousin, eighttimes removed. My daughter and son-in-law were related prior to theirmarriage. Tiffany Lenn Sharpe and Steven O. Westmoreland are 34thcousins, four times removed.

It was said that Cerdic and his son, Cynric, came into Britain on fiveships about 495 AD. They were one of those many nomadic Germanictribes of people whose barbarian savagery and rough manner of lifestruck terror in the hearts of many peoples wherever they wereattacked across Europe. He was a Saxon earldorman who founded asettlement on the coast of what became known as Hampshire, England.

By the time Cerdic was born, according to tradition, St. Patrick, thepatron saint of Ireland, may have already died in Saul, Ireland onMarch 17, 461 or maybe as late as 493.

Source:http://www.newadvent.org/cathen/11554a.htm

Cerdic was recognized as King of the West Saxons in 519, and reineduntil 534. In 534, Cerdic's forces conquered the Isle of Wright.Later in this year was when Cerdic died.

We all have heard of the illusive King Author of England. It isimpossible to pinpoint who he was or exactly when he, if he was oneperson and not an embodiment of several hero Kings, ruled English landsides. However, the time of Cerdic's arrival is right in the timespan when many believe King Author was roving the land.

(Source: http://www.britannia.com/history/arthur1.html)

"Cerdic, a patriarch of royalty in Saxony, landed in Hampshire in 495and in 519 gained a victory at Charford. He was first crowned King ofthe West Saxons, when, as it is reported, the legendary King Arthur,who had his castle on the steep coast of Cornwall, yielded to him thesection of land now known as Hampshire and Somerset. In 520, beingunable to extend his rule west of the Avon and defeated at Badbury. County Dorset, Cedric withdrew. Ten years later he conquered the Isleof Wight. He died in 534. Cerdic is said to be the founding figure ofthe West Saxon dynasty. However, much of this is obscure and notdocumented, subject to dispute by history scholars. There was nosecure chronicle in the 6th century. (Wurts)"

Source:http://www.mathematical.com/cerdic.html

	Generation 54
188 778 086 4.	Clotarie (son of Clovis and St. Clotilde) was born in 495 AD in Rheims, Marne, Lorraine, France. He died on 23 Nov 561 AD in Braines, Lorraine, France. He married Ingund .
188 778 086 5.	Ingund was born in 494 AD. She died in 563 AD.
189 372 825 6.	Elesa (son of Esla) was born in 439 AD in Ancient Saxony, Northern Germany. He married UNNAMED .
189 372 825 7.	UNNAMED was born in 443 AD.

Generation 55

Clovis (son of Childeric and Basina) was born in 466 AD in France. He died on 27 Nov 511 AD in Paris, France. He married **St. Clotilde**.

St. Clotilde was born in 475 AD in Burgandy, France. She died in 550 AD in Burgandy, France.

Esla (son of Cewis) was born in 411 AD.

Generation 56

Childeric (son of Meroveus and Verica) was born in 436 AD in Germanky. He died on 26 Nov 481 AD in Austrasia, France. He married **Basina**.

Basina was born in 440 AD in Thuringia, Germany. She died in 487 AD in Austrasia, France.

Cewis (son of Wig) was born in 383 AD.

Generation 57

Meroveus (son of Chlodio and Basina) was born in 415 AD in Germany. He died in 458 AD in Upper Rhine River Valley, Germany. He married **Verica**.

Verica was born in 419 AD in Westphalia, Germany. She died in 460 AD in Thuringia, Germany.

Wig (son of Freawine) was born in 355 AD.

	Generation 58
139 722 752.	Chlodio (son of Paramund and Argotta) was born about 395 AD in Rhine River Valley, Germany. He died in 447 AD in Moselle, France. He married Basina .
139 722 753.	Basina was born in 398 AD in Thuringia, Germany. She died in 452 AD in Thuringia, Germany.
234 881 024.	Freawine (son of Frithogar) was born in 327 AD.
	Generation 59
279 445 504.	Paramund was born in 370 AD in Westphalia, Germany. He died in 430 AD in Rhine River Valley, Germany. He married Argotta .
279 445 505.	Argotta (daughter of Genobaud) was born in 376 AD in France. She died in 432 AD in Rhine River Valley, Germany.
469 762 048.	Frithogar (son of Brand) was born in 299 AD.
	Generation 60
558 891 010.	Genobaud was born in 349 AD in Rhine River Valley, Germany. He died in 419 AD in Rhine River Valley, Germany.
939 524 096.	Brand (son of Beldeg) was born in 271 AD.
	Generation 61
187 904 819 2.	Beldeg (son of Odin) was born in 243 AD in Scandinavia.
	Generation 62
	Odin (son of Frithuwald) was born in 215 AD.
	Generation 63
	Frithuwald (son of Freothalaf and Beltsa) was born in 190 AD in Asgard, Asia Or, East

Europe.

Generation 64

Freothalaf (son of Fin) was born in 160 AD in Asgard, Asia Or, East Europe. He married **Beltsa**.

Beltsa was born in 194 AD.

Generation 65

Fin (son of Florwald) was born in 130 AD in Asgard, Asia Or East Europe.

Generation 66

Florwald (son of Godwulf) was born in 100 AD in Asgard, Asia Or, East Europe.

Generation 67

Godwulf was born about 80 AD. He died about 125 AD.

Prepared By:

Preparer: Dwight (D. A.) Albert Sharpe

Phone: 817-504-6508 Email: da@dasharpe.com Address: 805 Derting Road East Aurora, TX 76078-3712

USA